

IVANOVO STATE POWER UNIVERSITY

SOLOVYOV STUDIES

Issue 3(55) 2017

Solovyov Studies. Issue 3(55) 2017

The Journal has been published since 2001

ISSN 2076-9210

Founder: Federal State-Financed Educational Institution of Higher Professional Education
«Ivanovo State Power Engineering University named after V.I. Lenin»

Editorial Board:

M.V. Maksimov (Chief Editor), Doctor of Philosophy, Ivanovo, Russia

A.P. Kozyrev (Chief Editor Assistant), Candidate of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

E.M. Amelina, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

I.I. Evlampiev, Doctor of Philosophy, St. Petersburg, Russia,

I.A. Edoshina, Doctor of Cultural Studies, Kostroma, Russia

K.L. Erofeeva, Doctor of Philosophy, Ivanovo, Russia,

N.V. Kotrelev, Senior Researcher, Moscow, Russia,

L.M. Maksimova (responsible secretary), Candidate of Philosophy, Ivanovo, Russia,

B.V. Mezhuev, Candidate of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

V.I. Moiseev, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

S.B. Rotsinskiy, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

V.V. Serbinenko, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

E.A. Takho-Godi, Doctor of Philology, St. Petersburg, Russia,

S.D. Titarenko, Doctor of Philology, St. Petersburg, Russia,

D.L. Shukurov, Doctor of Philology, Ivanovo, Russia

International Editorial Board:

G.E. Aliaiev, Doctor of Philosophy, Poltava, Ukraine,

R. Goldt, Doctor of Philology, Mainz, Germany,

N.I. Dimitrova, Doctor of Philosophy, Sofia, Bulgaria,

DavidsonP., Doctor of Philosophy, London, United Kingdom

E. van der Zwaerde, Doctor of Philosophy, Nijmegen, Netherlands,

Ya. Krasicki, Doctor of Philosophy, Wroclaw, Poland,

B. Marchadier, Slavonic studies doctor, Paris, France,

Nemeth T., Doctor of Philosophy, New York, United States of America

Address:

Russian Scientific and Educational Center of V. S. Solov'ev Studies,

Ivanovo State Power Engineering University

34, Rabfakovskaya st., Ivanovo, Russian Federation, 153003

Tel. (4932), 26-97-70, 26 97-75; Fax (4932) 26-97-96

E-mail: maximov@philosophy.ispu.ru

<http://www.solovyov-seminar.ispu.ru>

The Journal is included in the List of Leading Reviewed Scientific Journals and Publications, which are approved by the State Commission for Academic Degrees and Titles of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation for publishing the main scientific results of the dissertations on the candidate and doctoral degrees.

Information about published articles is sent to the Russian Science Citation Index by agreement with «Scientific Electronic Library» Ltd. No. № 580-12/2012 LO of 13.12.2012. The journal is registered in the foreign database Ulrich's Periodicals Directory.

© M.V. Maksimov, preparation, 2017

© Authors of Articles, 2017

© Federal State-Financed Educational Institution of Higher Professional Education «Ivanovo State Power Engineering University named after V.I. Lenin», 2017

CONTENT

V.S. SOLOVYOV'S HERITAGE: RESEARCH AND PUBLICATIONS

Volkov Yu.K. V.S. Solovyev's idea about the autonomy of the moral life of the man and the problem of the natural basics the ethics of good.....	6
From the Vladimir Solovyov's unpublished and uncollected works:	
The letter to O.K. Notovich, 3 Jan 1891.; New oracles; From a conversation with P.E. Leave-it (Ostav' ego); Attributed to Vladimir Solovyov: Anonymous notes from «Novosyi i Birzhevaia gazeta». SPB., 1891. 11 Jan. (No. 11); 12 Jan. (No. 12); 17 Jan. (No. 17).....	17

THE HISTORY OF RUSSIAN PHILOSOPHY

Ermishin O.T. Prince S.N. Troubetsky in the history of Russian thought.....	46
--	----

A.F LOSEV AND CULTURE OF SILVER AGE

Obolevitch T. Reception of A.F. Losev creativity in Poland in the 20 th and the 21 st century in the context of his aesthetical thought.....	56
Kroczak Yu. The role of A.F. Losev in forming S.S. Averincev's views on cultural relations between byzantine and old rus'.....	78
Rimondi G. Musical perception of the world in A.F. Losev's prose.....	88

REVOLUTIONAL IDEA IN THE HISTORY OF RUSSIAN CONCEPTION AND THE MODERN DEBATES

Kosharnyy V.P. The doctrine about religious revolution D.S. Merezhkovsky, Z.N. Gippius and D.V. Filosofov.....	96
Dimitrova N.I. The «Soft revolution» of mystical anarchism.....	112
Garziano S. Some remarks on the memory of the Russian revolution in migr culture.....	122
Gaman L.A. Christian thinker about the revolution: some aspects of F.A. Stepun's concept of the Russian revolution 1917.....	135

PHILOSOPHY AND LITERATURE

Rotsinsky S.B. Terrestrial existence of the poet and divine essence of poetry.....	149
Barsh K.A. Andrei Platonov's «Substance of life» and the category «qi» in the chinese philosophical tradition.....	163

MONOGRAFP IN THE JOURNAL

Evlampiev I.I. Unbiased christianity and its sources.....	182
OUR AUTHORS.....	204
ON «SOLOVYOV STUDIES» JOURNAL.....	206
ON SUBSCRIPTION TO «SOLOVYOV STUDIES» JOURNAL.....	209
INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS.....	209

V.S. SOLOVYOV'S LEGACY ON THE OCCASION OF THE 120TH ANNIVERSARY

V.S. SOLOVYEV'S IDEA ABOUT THE AUTONOMY OF THE MORAL LIFE OF THE MAN AND THE PROBLEM OF THE NATURAL BASICS THE ETHICS OF GOOD

Yu.K. VOLKOV

National research N.I. Lobachevsky Nizhny Novgorod State University. Arzamas branch
36, K. Marx str., Nizhegorodsky region, c. Arzamas, 607220, Russian Federation
E-mail: yu.k.volkov@yandex.ru

It is considering little investigated taking into account modern approaches in addressing the problem of congenital and acquired properties in human behavior V.S. Solovyov's idea of the autonomy of the ethical consciousness and the moral life contained them in the first part of the «Justification of the good». In the article are used in addition to the text of the work of V.S. Solovyov materials from the philosophical, psychological, biological and sociological research of modern authors devoted to the issues of the natural bases of human culture. It is shown that the hypothesis about the purely human nature of shame which V.S. Solovyov is protected still retains its value despite a number of the latest significant additions. During the carried out analysis it is found that the assumption of the philosopher about the existence of the hereditary traits similar to the sense of piety as the religious beginning of the moral human life in light of current knowledge is speculative. It is alleged that on the basis of modern ideas about the meaning of altruistic behavioral strategies in the process of group selection the success of the group's existence could provide an increase in the number of individuals possessing such a primary manifestation of good feeling as pity for the «its». The conclusion is made that the contradiction of the position of V.S. Solovyov in understanding the autonomy of the nature of the ethical consciousness emerged due to its dualistic approach in addressing the worldview dilemma: man is a product of natural adaptations, or the result of the highest creative act. At the same time acknowledging the simultaneous existence of two opposite entities in man that define the nature of its «vertical» relations to the lowest and highest leaves open the question of the nature of the «horizontal» interpersonal relationships beyond the bounds of ethics of goodness.

Key words: *autonomy of the moral life, ethics of good, natural foundation of morality, shame, pity, piety, natural religion, behavioral strategies, group selection, altruism, worldview dilemma.*

References

1. Bekker, M. *Nachala nraevstvennoy filosofii v «Opravdanii dobra» Vladimira Solov'eva.* Diss. kand. filos. nauk [The beginnings of moral Philosophy in the “Justification of good” of Vladimir Soloviev. Cand. philos. sci. diss.]. Saint-Petersburg, 2002. 125 p.
2. Dushina, S.A. *Problema nraevstvennogo ideal'a v filosofii V.S. Solov'eva.* Diss. kand. filos. nauk [The problem of moral nonpareil in Philosophy of V.S. Soloviev. Cand. philos. sci. diss.]. Saint-Petersburg, 1998. 128 p.
3. Krasnov, A.M. *Problema absolyutnogo dobra v etike V.S. Solov'eva i N.O. Losskogo.* Diss. kand. filos. nauk [The problem of total good in ethics of V.S. Soloviev and N.O. Losskiy. Cand. philos. sci. diss.]. Tula, 2008. 187 p.
4. Krivova, E.V. *Etika V.S. Solov'eva.* Diss. kand. filos. nauk [V.S. Soloviev's ethics. Cand. philos. sci. diss.]. Moscow, 2005. 133 p.

5. Sestra Tereza Obolevich. Motiv evolyutsii v tvorchestve V.S. Solov'eva [The motive of evolution in Soloviev's work], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2009, issue 4 (24), pp. 112–122.
6. Spenser, G. *Sinteticheskaya filosofiya* [Synthetic Philosophy]. Kiev: Nika-Tsentr, 1997. 512 p.
7. Solov'ev, V.S. Opravdanie dobra. Nrvastvennaya filosofiya [Justification of the good. Moral philosophy], in *Solov'ev, V.S. Sochineniya v 2 t., t. 1* [Works in 2 vol., vol 1]. Moscow: Mysl', 1990. 892 p.
8. Kinston, W.A Theoretical Context for Shame. Available at: https://www.psyq.ru/publications/kinston_shame.html
9. Alimov, A.A. Vina i styd: vozrastnaya spetsifika perezhivaniya [Guilt and shame: age-related particularity of ruefulness], in *Sbornik nauchnykh trudov po itogam mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii «O nekotorykh voprosakh i problemakh psichologii i pedagogiki»* [The collection of scientific papers on the results of the international scientific and practice conference «Some questions and problems of Psychology and Pedagogics】. Krasnoyarsk: Innovatsionnyy tsentr razvitiya obrazovaniya i nauki, 2014. 144 p.
10. Kuz'minova, E.A. Psikhologicheskie osobennosti perezhivaniya styda i viny zhenshchinami [The psychological features of guilt and shame sufferings by women], in *Novyy universitet. Ser.: Aktual'nye problemy gumanitarnykh i obshchestvennykh nauk*, 2013, no. 10 (31), pp. 57–60.
11. Mankhaeva, O.M. *Perezhivanie chuvstva viny u muzhchin s razlichnoy sotsial'noy napravленностью*. Avtoref. diss. kand. psikh. nauk [The suffering of guilt by men with different social dimentions. Abstract Cand. psychol. sci. diss.]. Khabarovsk, 2012. 22 p.
12. Bazhenov, M.V. Predystoriya evropeyskogo ponimaniya styda [The prehistory of European guilt conception], in *Vestnik Udmurtskogo universiteta*, 1995, no. 6, pp. 66–74.
13. Danilova, Yu.N. *Vina i styd v kul'turakh Zapada, Rossii i Vostoka*. Avtoref. diss. kand. filos. nauk [Guilt and shame in the West culture. Abstract cand. philos. sci. diss.]. Omsk, 2016. 24 p.
14. Dzhenkova, E.A. *Konsepty «styda» i «vina» v russkoy i nemetskoy lingvokul'turakh*. Avtoref. dis. kand. filol. nauk [The concepts of “shame” and “guilt” in Russian and German linguocultures: author's abstract. Cand. philol. sci. diss.]. Volgograd, 2005. 22 p.
15. Marten, Zh.-P. *Kniga styda. Styd v istorii literatury* [The shame book. Shame in the history of literature]. Moscow: Tekst, 2009. 288 p.
16. Kant, I. *Antropologiya s pragmaticseskoy tochki zreniya* [Anthropology in the context of pragmatism], in Kant, I. *Sochineniya v 6 t., t. 6* [Works in 6 vol., vol. 6]. Moscow: Mysl', 1966. 743 p.
17. Izard, K.E. *Psikhologiya emotsiy* [Psychology of emotions]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdatel'stvo «Piter», 1999. 464 p.
18. Morris, D. *Lyudskoy zverinet* [Human menagerie]. Moscow: Amfora, 2004. 287 p.
19. Kon, I.S. *Vvedenie v seksologiyu* [Introduction to Sexual Medicine]. Moscow: Meditsina, 1988. 320 p.
20. Bakhtin, M.M. *Tvorchestvo Fransa Rable i narodnaya kul'tura srednevekov'ya i Renaissance* [The works of Fransua Rable and the folklife culture of Middle Ages and Renaissance]. Moscow: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1990. 543 p.
21. Markuze, G. *Eros i tsivilizatsiya* [Eros and civilization]. Moscow: OOO «Izd-vo ASE»; ZAO NPP «Ermak», 2003. 312 p.
22. Protopopov, A.I., Vyazovskiy, A.V. *Instinkty cheloveka (Popытка описания и классификации)* [Human instincts (Effort of description and classification)]. Available at: <http://www.ethology.ru/library/?id=407>
23. Serikov, A.E. Diskussii ob instinktakh cheloveka [The discussion about human instincts], in *Vestnik Samarskoy gumanitarnoy akademii. Seriya Filosofiya. Filologiya*, 2015, no. 1(17), pp. 65–87.
24. Fromm, E. *Iskusstvo lyubvi (Issledovanie prirody lyubvi)*. Vyp. 2 [The love art (Exploration of love nature)]. Moscow: Znanie, 1991. 64 p.
25. Porshnev, B.F. *O nachale chelovecheskoy istorii. Problemy paleopsikhologii* [The beginning of human history. The problems of Paleopsychology]. Saint-Petersburg: Aleteyya, 2007. 714 p.
26. Didenko, B.A. *Tsivilizatsiya kannibalov. Chelovechestvo kak ono est'* [Cannibals' civilization. Humanity as it stands]. Moscow: MP Kitezh, 1996. 160 p.
27. Semenov, Yu.I. *Na zare chelovecheskoy istorii* [In the earliest days of human history]. Moscow: Mysl', 1989. 318 p.

28. Teylor, E. *Pervobytnaya kul'tura* [Primitive culture]. Moscow: Gosudarstvennoe sotsial'no-ekonomicheskoe izdatel'stvo, 1939. 567 p.
29. Dokinz, R. *Kakaya pol'za ot religii?* [What is the benefit of religion?]. Available at: http://www.scepsis.net/Biblioteka/id_151.html
30. Grekhem, L.R. *Estestvoznanie, filosofiya i nauki o chelovecheskom povedenii v Sovetskem Soyuze* [Natural science, philosophy and the science of human behavior in the Soviet Union]. Moscow: Politizdat, 1991. 480 p.
31. Veber, M. *Izbrannye proizvedeniya* [Selected works]. Moscow: Progress, 1990. 808 p.
32. Dubinin, N.P. *Genetika, povedenie, otvetstvennost': O prirode antiobshchestvennykh postupkov i putyakh ikh preduprezhdeniya* [Genetics, behavior, responsibility: about the nature of asocial act and the ways of their warning]. Moscow: Politizdat, 1989. 315 p.
33. Krasitskiy, Ya. *Bog, chelovek i zlo. Issledovanie filosofii Vladimira Solov'eva* [Three talks about the war, the progress and the end of world history]. Moscow: Progress-Traditsiya, 2009. 448 p.
34. Solov'ev, V.S. *Tri razgovora o voynе, progresse i kontse vsemirnoy istorii* [Three Conversations on War, Progress and the End of World History], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sochineniya v 2 t., t. 2* [Works in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Mysl', 1990. 822 p.

**FROM THE VLADIMIR SOLOVYOV'S UNPUBLISHED
AND UNCOLLECTED WORKS: THE LETTER TO O. K. NOTOVICH,
3 JAN 1891; NEW ORACLES; FROM A CONVERSATION
WITH P. E. LEAVE-IT (OSTAV' EGO);
ATTRIBUTED TO VLADIMIR SOLOVYOV: ANONYMOUS NOTES
FROM «NOVOSI I BIRZHEVAIA GAZETA». SPB., 1891.
11 JAN. (NO. 11); 12 JAN. (NO. 12); 17 JAN. (NO. 17)**

PUBLICATION by N.V. KOTRELEV
A.M.Gorky Institute of World Literature of the Russian Academy of Sciences
25A, Povarskaya street, Moscow, 121069, Russian Federation
E-mail: kotrelev-n-v@yandex.ru

THE HISTORY OF RUSSIAN PHILOSOPHY

PRINCE SN TROUBETSKY IN THE HISTORY OF RUSSIAN THOUGHT *

O.T. ERMISHIN

House of Russian Abroad named after Alexander Solzhenitsyn
2, ul. Nizhnyaya Radischevskaya, Moscow, 109240, Russian Federation

The article devoted to S.N. Troubetskoy's influence to the development of Russian philosophy. The author of article considered S.N. Troubetskoy's historicico-philosophical views and his general philosophical world view, the influence of platonism and German idealism's criticism to the S.N. Troubetskoy's philosophy. He analyzed S.N. Troubetskoy's main idea of «concrete idealism» and her development in the doctrines of Troubetskoy's contemporaries and next generation of the Russian philosophers. The author of article researched the variations and interpretations of «concrete idealism» in the works of L.M. Lopatin, P.A. Florensky, N.O. Lossky, or, by N.O. Lossky's definition, «the idea of concreteness». In author's opinion, the elements of «concrete idealism» are in L.M. Lopatin's system of «concrete spiritualism» with the idea of substance, P.A. Florensky's «concrete metaphysics» and N.O. Lossky's «organic concrete ideal-realism». These three thinkers have shown the opportunities of «concrete idealism», the ways of his development. The author of article concluded that S.N. Troubetskoy created the foundations of «concrete idealism», original direction in Russian philosophy of the beginning of the 20th century and alternative to the «metaphysics of 'vseedinstvo'». S.N. Troubetskoy's philosophical ideas were significant for the development of Russian philosophy. «Concrete idealism» of S.N. Troubetskoy is necessary for overcoming the stereotypes and myths in modern researches of Russian thought.

Key words: history of philosophy, Russian philosophy, metaphysics, concrete idealism, antique religion, platonism, spiritualism, ideal-realism, intuitivism, idea of concreteness

References

1. Trubetskoy, S.N. *Vera v bessmertie* [Belief to immortality], in Trubetskoy, S.N. *Sobranie sochineniy. T. 2* [Collected Works. Vol. 2]. Moscow, 1908, pp. 348–417.
2. Trubetskoy, S.N. [Fragment o zapadnoy filosofii (1881)] [Fragment about western philosophy (1881)], in Ermishin, O.T. *Russkaya istoriko-filosofskaya mysl'* [Russian historical-philosophical thought]. Moscow: Humanist, 2004, pp. 139–142.
3. Trubetskoy, S.N. *Metafizika v Drevney Gretsii* [Metaphysics in Ancient Greece]. Moscow: Mysl, 2003. 589 p.
4. Lopatin, L.M. *Polozhitel'nye zadachi filosofii* [Positive tasks of philosophy]. Moscow, 1911, vol. 1. 462 p.
5. Florenskiy, P., svyashch. *Filosofiya kul'ta* [The Philosophy of Cult]. Moscow: Mysl, 2004. 685 p.
6. Florenskiy, P., svyashch. U vodorazdelov mysli. Ch. 1 [Near the Divides of Thought. Part 1], in Florenskiy, P., svyashch. *Sochineniya v 4 t., t. 3(1)* [The Works in 4 vol., vol. 3(1)]. Moscow: Mysl, 1999, pp. 27–372.
7. Andronik (Troubachev), igumen. *Istoriya sozdaniya tsikla «U vodorazdelov mysli»* [The History of creation «Near the Divides of Thought»], in Florenskiy, P., svyashch. *Sochineniya v 4 t., t. 3(1)* [The Works in 4 vol., vol. 3(1)]. Moscow: Mysl, 1999, pp. 5–24.
8. Losskiy, N.O. *Vospominaniya. Zhizn' i filosofskiy put'* [The Memoirs. The Life and philosophical way]. Moscow: Vikmo – Russkiy put', 2008, pp. 15–282.

-
9. Losskiy, N.O. Ideya konkretnosti v russkoy filosofii [The Idea of concrete beings in Russian Philosophy], in *Nauchnye trudy Russkogo narodnogo universiteta v Prague* [Scientific Works of Russian national university in Prague]. Prague, 1933, vol. 5, pp. 1–12.
 10. Ermishin, O.T. *Knyaz' S.N. Trubetskoy. Zhizn' i filosofiya* [Prince S.N. Troubetskoy. The Life and the Philosophy]. Moscow: Izdatel'skiy dom «Sinaksis», 2011. 176 p.
 11. Florenskiy, P. svyashch. *Iz istorii antichnoy filosofii* [From history of antique philosophy]. Moscow: Gumanitariy, 2007. 597 p.
 12. Polovinkin, S.M. N.O. Losskiy i svyashchennik Pavel Florenskiy [N.O. Lossky and priest Pavel Florensky], in Losskiy, N.O. *Vospominaniya. Zhizn' i filosofskiy put'* [The Memoirs. The Life and philosophical way]. Moscow: Vikmo – Russkiy put', 2008, pp. 299–303.

A.F. LOSEV AND CULTURE OF SILVER AGE

RECEPTION OF A.F. LOSEV CREATIVITY IN POLAND IN THE 20TH AND THE 21ST CENTURY IN THE CONTEXT OF HIS AESTHETICAL THOUGHT

TERESA OBOLEVITCH

The Pontifical University of John Paul II in Krakow
9, Kanonicza St, Krakow, 31-002, Poland
E-mail: tereza.bolevich@upjp2.edu.pl

We consider in detail the process of perception of creativity of A.F. Losev in Poland. Using the synthetic method, three periods of his reception of thought are presented: in the interwar years (1918–1939), after the Second World War until the 90s of the twentieth century, and over the past decade. The first researcher, who introduced the ideas of Losev to Poles, was Tomasz Parchewski, a student of N.O. Lossky. Subsequently, the works of Russian philosopher started to interest the experts in the field of the Ancient culture and philology. Based on the rich bibliographic material and the analysis of the literature of subject, we show that only in the 70s of the last century in Poland some aesthetic work Losev became known. It is noted that recently there is an intensive study of his work. The final part of the article is devoted to the Polish study in Losev's philosophy of music. With the quotation of corresponding research papers, we indicate that Polish scholars have stressed out both dialectical nature of Losev's reasoning as well as reality described by him (in particular, the world of music). In addition, it is emphasised that the philosophy of music is seen in Poland in the wider context of issues of symbol in Losev's thought.

Key words: A.F. Losev; reception of Russian philosophy, aesthetics, philosophy of music, mythology, ancient culture, dialectics, philosophical reviews, artistic form, expression, classical philology.

References

1. Levitskiy, S.A. Klevetniki russkoy filosofii [Detractors of Russian philosophy], in *Posev*, 1950, no. 33, p. 13.
2. Obolivitch, T. Twórczość Aleksego Fiodorowicza Łosiewa w Polsce [Creativity of Alexei Fedorovich Losev in Poland], in *Polskie badania filozofii rosyjskiej. Przewodnik po literaturze. Cz. 2* [Polish studies in Russian philosophy. A guide to literature. Part 1]. Warsaw: Wydawnictwo IFiS PAN, 2012, pp. 259–275.
3. Obolivitch, T. Pol'skie filosofy i Peterburg: Foma Parchevskiy i drugie [Polish philosophers and Petersburg: Thomas Parchevsky and other], in *Mysl'*, 2015, vol. 16, pp. 130–152.
4. Pis'mo T. Parchevskogo k S.L. Franku ot 21.08.1924 g. [Letter of T. Parchevsky to S.L. Frank from 21.08.1924], in Bakhmeteff Archive of Russian and East European History and Culture. Rare Book & Manuscript Library. S.L. Papers. Box 9, pp. 1–4.
5. Pis'mo T. Parchevskogo k K. Tvardovskomu ot 3.10.1927 g. [Letter of T. Parchevsky to K. Tvardovsky from 3.10.1927], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2015, issue 2(46), pp. 153–154.
6. Frank, S.L. Novaya russkaya filosofskaya sistema [The new Russian philosophical system], in *Put'*, 1928, no. 9, pp. 89–90.
7. Pis'mo T. Parchevskogo k K. Tvardovskomu ot 8.05.1931 r. [Letter of T. Parchevsky to K. Tvardovsky from 8.05.1931], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2015, issue 2(46), p. 156.
8. Łosski, M. Rosyjska filozofia XX wieku [Russian philosophy of the 20th century], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny*, 1936, vol. XXXIX, pp. 260–286.
9. Rovnyakova, L.I. Russkie stikhi Boleslava Les'myana (K probleme russko-pol'skogo bilingvizma) [Russian poems by Boleslaw Lesmyan (On the problem of the Polish-Russian bilingvism)]

- bilingualism), in *Mnogoyazychie i literaturnoe tvorchestvo* [Multilingualism and literary creation]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1981, pp. 290–315.
10. Boyé, E. Dialogi akademickie. W niepojetej zieloności. Rozmowa z Bolesławem Leśmianem [Academic dialogues. The incomprehensible greenness. Interview with Bolesław Lesmian], in *Pion*, 1934, no. 23, pp. 7–8.
11. Kroczałk, Yu. Faddey Zieliński i A.F. Losev – k woprosu o ponimanii antichnosti [Thaddeus Zieliński and A.F. Losev – the question of the understanding of antiquity], in *Tvorchestvo A.F. Loseva v kontekste otechestvennoy i evropeyskoy kul'turnoy traditsii* [Creativity of A.F. Losev in the context of national and European cultural tradition]. Moscow: Dizayn i poligrafiya, 2013, part 2, pp. 34–44.
12. Łossew, A.F. Chaos antyczny [Ancient chaos], in *Meander*, 1957, no. 9, pp. 283–293.
13. Losev, A.F. *Istoriya antichnoy estetiki. T. 8, kn. 2* [History of ancient aesthetics. Vol. 8, book 2]. Moscow: Ladorim, 1994. 715 p.
14. Łosjew, A.F. Podstawowe zagadnienia mitologii antycznej [Basic issues of ancient mythology], in *Euchemer*, 1960, no. 3, pp. 31–44.
15. M.N. A.F. Łosiew, Anticznaja mifołogija... Moskwa 1957 [A.F. Losev, Ancient mythology... Moscow 1967], in *Euchemer*, 1960, no. 2, pp. 41–47.
16. Abramowicz, Z. Prof. A.F. Losev. Gomer, Moskva 1960 [Prof. A.F. Losev. Homer, Moscow 1960], in *Eos*, 1962, no. 52, pp. 209–215.
17. Lossev, A. Über die Bedeutung des Terminus Sophia bei Plato, in *Meander*, 1967, no. 7–8, pp. 340–347.
18. Ciesiulewicz, R. Trzecia Wszechzwiazkowa Konferencja poświęcona zagadnieniom filologii klasycznej w Związku Radzieckim [Third All-Union Conference on issues of classical philology in the Soviet Union], in *Meander*, 1967, no. 1, pp. 60–62.
19. Takho-Godi, A.A. *Zhizn' i sud'ba: Vospominaniya* [Life and Fate: Memories]. Moscow: Molodaya gvardiya, 2009. 692 p.
20. Lossev, A.F. Ewolucja pojęcia nocy w starożytności na tle przemian społeczno-historycznych [The evolution of the notion of the night in ancient times against the socio-historical background], in *Meander*, 1969, no. 3, pp. 103–115.
21. Losev, A.F. Antichnaya noch' i sotsial'no-istoricheskoe soznanie drevnikh [Ancient night and socio-historical consciousness of the ancient], in *Acta Conventus XI «EIRENE» diebus XXI–XXV m. Octobris a. MCMLXVIII habitu*. Wrocław: Ossolineum, 1971, pp. 355–366.
22. Jubileusz prof. dr Aleksieja Łosiewa [Jubilee of prof. Dr Alexei Losev], in *Meander*, 1979, no. 2, p. 108.
23. Tacho-Godi, A. Jubileusz profesora Łosiewa [Jubilee of professor Alexei Losev], in *Meander*, 1979, no. 7, pp. 335–338.
24. Losev A.F. Antičnaja filosofija istorii [Ancient philosophy of history], in *Ruch Filozoficzny*, 1979, no. 1–2, p. 103b.
25. Maciejewski, Z. Pansymbolizm [Pansymbolism], in *Teksty*, 1979, no. 4, pp. 158–166.
26. Łosiew, A.F. Ogólna logika symbolu [General logic of symbol], in *Osnowa. Sztuka odbioru. Almanach* [Foundation. Art reception. Almanac]. Łódź: Wydawnictwo Łódzkie, 1980, pp. 185–199.
27. Łosiew, A.F. Szkic do portretu Sokratesa [Study for a Portrait of Socrates], in *Przegląd Humanistyczny*, 1993, no. 1, pp. 147–150.
28. Łosiew, A.F., Tacho-Godi, A.A. Dialogi Platona jako dramat myśli [Dialogues of Plato as the drama of thought], in *Heksis*, 1998, no. 3–4, pp. 33–51.
29. Czaplejewicz, E. Łosiew czyli tytanizm dwudziestowieczny [Losev, or the titanism of the 20th century], in *Przegląd Humanistyczny*, 1987, no. 12, pp. 1–20.
30. Chapleevich, E. Losev, ili Titanizm XX veka [Losev, or the titanism of the 20th century], in *Voprosy klassicheskoy filologii*, 1996, issue 11, pp. 5–25.
31. Aleksey Fedorovich Losev [Alexei Fedorovich Losev]. Moscow: Russkiy mir, 2007. 770 p.

32. Czaplejewicz, E. Dramatyczne przygody neoplatonizmu dwudziestowiecznego w Rosji (O twórczości Aleksego F. Łosiewa) [The dramatic adventure of Neo-Platonism in the twentieth century in Russia (On the creativity of Alexei F. Losev)], in *Heksis*, 1998, no. 3–4, pp. 21–32.
33. Tacho-Godi, A.A. Kommentarii [Comments], in *Aleksey Fedorovich Losev* [Alexei Fedorovich Losev]. Moscow: Russkiy mir, 2007, pp. 699–770.
34. Łosiew, A. Neoplatonizm wyłożony jasno jak słońce [Neoplatonism lined with brightly as the sun], in *Dialog, komparatystyka, literatura: profesorowi Eugeniuszowi Czaplejewiczowi w czterdziestolecie pracy naukowej i dydaktycznej* [Dialogue, comparativistic, literature: to Professor Eugene Czaplejewiczowi in forty years of his scientific work and teaching]. Warsaw: Oficyna Wydawnicza ASPRA-JR, 2002, pp. 543–552.
35. Losev, A.F. Iстория эстетики (фрагмент) [History of Aesthetics (fragment)], in Losev, A.F. *Ellinisticheski-rimskaya estetika* [Hellenistic-Roman aesthetics]. Moscow: Mysl', 2002, pp. 577–600.
36. Tacho-Godi, A. Zamiast wstęp. Nasi dawni polscy przyjaciele – książki i ludzie [Instead of introduction. Our former Polish friends – books and people], in *Aleksy Łosiew, czyli rzecz o tytanizmie XX wieku* [Alexei Losev, or the thing on the titanism of the 20th century]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2012, pp. 11–18.
37. Tacho-Godi, A. Aleksy Łosiew [Alexei Losev], in *Literatura Radziecka*, 1990, no. 6, pp. 115–123.
38. Jerofejev, W. Ostatni filozof rosyjski [The last Russian philosopher], in *Res Publica*, 1991, no. 6, pp. 3–11.
39. Erofeev, V. Posledniy klassicheskiy myslitel' [The last classical thinker], in Losev, A.F. *Strast' k dialektike. Literaturnye razmyshleniya filosofa* [A passion for dialectics. Literary reflections of the philosopher]. Moscow: Sovetskiy pisatel', 1990, pp. 3–13.
40. Shetelya, V., Shetelya, M. Aleksey Fedorovich Losev – k stoletiyu so dnya rozhdeniya (23 sentyabrya 1893 – 24 maya 1988) [Alexei Fedorovich Losev – to the centenary of his birth (September 23, 1893 – May 24, 1988)], in *Slavia Orientalis*, 1995, no. 2, pp. 213–215.
41. Nieuwaieny, F. Łosiew Aleksy [Alexei Losev], in *Słownik pisarzy rosyjskich* [Dictionary of Russian writers]. Warsaw: Wiedza Powszechna, 1994, pp. 232.
42. Petrozolin-Skowrońska, B. Łosiew Aleksiej F. [Losev Alexei F.], in *Nowa Encyklopedia powszechna* [New universal Encyclopedia]. Warsaw: WN PWN, 1997, vol. 3, p. 855.
43. Tyczyński, T. Prace teoretyczne [Theoretical works], in *Historia literatury rosyjskiej XX wieku* [History of Russian literature of the 20th century]. Warsaw: PWN, 1997, pp. 534–535.
44. Przebinda, G. Łosiew Aleksiej Fiedorowicz [Losev Alexei Fedorovich], in *Kto jest kim w Rosji po 1917 roku. Leksykon* [Who is who in Russia after 1917. Lexicon]. Krakow: Znak, 2000, pp. 167–168.
45. Wojnowski, J. Łosiew Aleksiej F. [Losev Alexei F.], in *Wielka Encyklopedia PWN* [Grand Encyclopedia PWN]. Warsaw: WN PN, 2003, vol. 16, p. 295.
46. Aleksandrowicz, M. Łosiew Aleksiej Fiodorowicz [Losev Alexei Fedorovich], in *Powszechna Encyklopedia Filozofii* [Universal Encyclopedia of Philosophy]. Lublin: Polskie Towarzystwo Tomasza z Akwinu, 2005, vol. 6, pp. 627–628.
47. Koziej, J. Łosiew Aleksiej Fiodorowicz [Losev Alexei Fedorovich], in *Encyklopedia katolicka* [Catholic Encyclopedia]. Lublin: TN KUL, 2006, vol. 11, pp. 511–512.
48. Kiejsik, L. Przyczynek do problematyki filozofii imienia: tożsamość i różnice stanowisk Sergiusza Bułgakowa i Aleksego Łosiewa [Contribution to the problems of the philosophy of the name: the identity and the differences of the opinion of Sergei Bulgakov and Alexei Losev], in *Palamas – Bułgakow – Łosiew. Rozważania o religii, Imieniu Bożym, tragedii filozofii, wojnie i prawach człowieka* [Palamas – Bulgakov – Losev. Considerations of religion, the Name of God, the philosophy of tragedy, war and human rights]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2010, pp. 88–101.
49. Kiejsik, L. *Sergiusz Bułgakow i filozofowie Srebrnego Wieku. Rozważania o przyjaźni* [Sergei Bulgakov and philosophers of the Silver Age. Reflections on friendship]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2015.
50. Keyzik, L. A.F. Losev i S.N. Bulgakov – k voprosu o druzhbe neosushchestvimoj [A.F. Losev and S.N. Bulgakov – the question of an impossible friendship], in *Tворчество А.Ф. Loseva в kontekste*

- otechestvennoy i evropeyskoy kul'turnoy traditsii. Ch. 2 [Creativity of A.F. Losev in the context of national and European cultural tradition. Part 2]. Moscow: Dizayn i poligrafiya, 2013, pp. 121–129.
51. Aleksy Łosiew, czyli rzecz o tytanizmie XX wieku [Alexei Losev, or the thing on the titanism of the 20th century]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2012.
52. Uglik, J. O istocie filozofowania Aleksego Łosiewa [About the essence of Alexei Losev's philosophy], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 11–20.
53. Tacho-Godi, E. «Rytuał» i «obrzęd» w opowiadaniu Aleksego Łosiewa Teatroman [«Pagan Ritual» and «religious ceremony» in Alexei Losev's story The Theatergoer], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 21–34.
54. Dobieszewski, J. Łosiew o Sołowej [Losev about Solovyov], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 35–46.
55. Kroczał, J. Tadeusza Zielińskiego i Aleksego Łosiewa rozważania nad antykiem [Tadeusz Zieliński's and Alexei Losev's considerations of Antiquity], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 47–58.
56. Obolevitch, T. Estetyka w rozumieniu Władysława Tatarkiewicza i Aleksego Łosiewa. Próba analizy porównawczej [The concept of Aesthetics in Vladislav Tatarkiewicz and Alexei Losev. An attempt at a comparative analysis], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 59–70.
57. Kiejzik, L. Sergiusz Bułgakow i Aleksy Łosiew, czyli o przyjaźni niemożliwej [Sergei Bulgakov and Alexei Losev: or about a friendship that was impossible], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 71–82.
58. Tacho-Godi, E. O powieści Aleksego Łosiewa Kobietą-myslikiem [On story by Alexei Losev A women-thinker], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 105–106.
59. Wywiad z Azą Tacho-Godi: «Rozpoczynać trzeba zawsze od Homera...» [Interview with Asa Tacho-Godi: «On must always start with Homer ...»], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 83–90.
60. Krochak, Yu. A.F. Losev i FF. Zelinskiy: skhodstva i razlichiya ikh ponimaniya antichnosti [A.F. Losev and FF. Zelinsky: similarities and differences in their understanding of ancient], in *Antichnost' kak genom evropeyskoy i rossiyskoy kul'tury* [Antiquity as the genome of European and Russian culture]. Petersburg: Aleteyya, 2016, pp. 109–123.
61. Sapen'ko, R. Kontseptsiya mifa A.F. Loseva v kontekste sovremennoy teorii pis'mennosti [A.F. Losev's concept of myth in the context of the contemporary theory of writing], in *Tvorchestvo A.F. Loseva v kontekste otechestvennoy i evropeyskoy kul'turnoy traditsii. Ch. 1* [Creativity of A.F. Losev in the context of national and European cultural tradition. Part 1]. Moscow: Dizayn i poligrafiya, 2013, pp. 170–189.
62. Obolevitch, T. *Od onomatodoksy do estetyki. Aleksego Łosiewa koncepcja symbolu. Studium historyczno-filozoficzne* [From onomatodoxy to aesthetics. Aleksei Losev's concept of symbol. Historico-philosophical study]. Krakow: WAM, 2011.
63. Obolevitch, T. *Ot imyaslaviya k estetike. Kontseptsiya simvola Alekseya Loseva. Istoriko-filosofskoe issledovanie* [From onomatodoxy to aesthetics. Aleksei Losev's concept of symbol. Historico-philosophical study]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo BBI, 2014.
64. Obolevitch, T. Animal symbolicum. Mysł E. Cassirera i A.F. Łosiewa [Animal symbolicum: Thought of E. Cassirer and A.F. Losev], in *W poszukiwaniu swoistości człowieka* [In search of specificity of man]. Warsaw: Wydawnictwo UKSW, 2008, pp. 141–157.
65. Obolevitch, T. Aleksego Łosiewa głos w sporze o onomatodoksyje [Alexei Josiewa voice in the dispute over onomatodoxy], in *Towarzystwo Naukowe Księży Jezuitów w Krakowie. Prace 1950–2008* [Jesuit Scientific Society in Krakow. Works 1950–2008]. Krakow: Wydawnictwo «Ignatianum», 2008, pp. 253–278.
66. Obolevitch, T. Aleksego Łosiewa filozofia imienia [Alexei Losev's philosophy of name], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2009, no. 1, pp. 255–265.
67. Obolevitch, T. S. Bułgakowa i A. Łosiewa filozofia imienia, in *Palamas – Bułgakow – Łosiew. Rozważania o religii, Imieniu Bożym, tragedii filozofii, wojnie i prawach człowieka* [Palamas – Bulgakov –

-
- Losev. Considerations of religion, the Name of God, the philosophy of tragedy, war and human rights]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2010, pp.77–87.
68. Obolovich, T.A.F. Losev i S.L. Frank: dialog o Nepostizhimom [A.F. Losev and S.L. Frank: a dialog over the Unknowable], in *Tvorchestvo A.F. Loseva v kontekste otechestvennoy i evropeyskoy kul'turnoy traditsii. Ch. 2* [Creativity of A.F. Losev in the context of national and European cultural tradition. Part 2]. Moscow: Dizayn i poligrafiya, 2013, pp. 97–107.
69. Obolovich, T. Foma Parchevskiy – pervyy pol'skiy issledovatel' A.F. Loseva. Kommentariy k perevodu [Tomasz Parczewski – the first Polish researcher of the creativity of A.F. Losev], in *Byulleten' Biblioteki istorii russkoy filosofii i kul'tury «Dom A.F. Loseva»* [Bulletin of the Library of the History of Russian Philosophy and Culture «A.F. Losev's House»]. Moscow: Vodoley, 2014, issue 19, pp. 110–112 (and *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2015, no. 2, pp. 172–176).
70. Obolovich, T. Onto-teologicheskie osnovaniya estetiki: Plotin i Losev [Onto-Theological foundations of aesthetics: Plotinus and Losev], in *Bogoslovie krasoty* [Theology of beauty]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo BBI, 2013, pp. 185–198.
71. Obolovich, T. Onto-Theological Determinants of Aesthetics according to Alexei Losev. The Journal of Eastern Christian Studies, 2014, no. 3–4, pp. 139–151.
72. Obolovich, T. Estetika A.F. Loseva i nekotorye zapadnye esteticheskie kontseptsii [Aesthetics of A.F. Losev and some Western aesthetic concepts], in *Stranitsy*, 2016, no. 2, pp. 225–237.
73. Obolovich, T. La philosophie religieuse russe. Paris: Cerf, 2014.
74. Rojek, P. Rozwinięte imię magiczne. Dialektika mitu Aleksego Fiedorowicza Łosiewa [Developed magical name. Dialectics of myth of Alexei Fiedorovich Losev], in *Rocznik Mitoznawczy*, 2006, no. 1, pp. 25–41.
75. Takho-Godi, A.A. Losev Aleksey Fedorovich [Losev Alexei Fiedorovich], in *Idei v Possii. Idee w Rosji. Ideas in Russia. Leksykon rosyjsko-polsko-angielski* [Russian-Polish-English Lexicon]. Lodz: Ibidem, 2015, vol. 9, pp. 212–229.
76. Takho-Godi, E. Problema simvola v tворчестве A.F. Loseva i kontseptsiya simvolizma V.F. Odoevskogo [Problem of symbol in the creativity of A.F. Losev and the concept of symbolism of V.F. Odoevsky], in *Symbol w kulturze rosyjskiej* [Symbol in Russian culture]. Krakow: Wydawnictwo «Ignatianum», 2010, pp. 313–324.
77. Savel'eva, M. A.F. Losev o mifosimvolicheskoy sushchnosti lichnosti [A.F. Losev about the myth of the symbolic nature of the individual], in *Symbol w kulturze rosyjskiej* [Symbol in Russian culture]. Krakow: Wydawnictwo «Ignatianum», 2010, pp. 325–339.
78. Petrikovskaya, E. Russkiy simvolizm v kontekste Davoskoy diskussii Martina Khaydeggera i Ernsta Kassirera [Russian symbolism in the context of Davos discussion of Martin Heidegger and Ernst Cassirer], in *Symbol w kulturze rosyjskiej* [Symbol in Russian culture]. Krakow: Wydawnictwo «Ignatianum», 2010, pp. 341–355.
79. Bogataya, L. O simvole i osobennostyakh ego funktsionirovaniya [On the symbol and the specificity of its functioning], in *Symbol w kulturze rosyjskiej* [Symbol in Russian culture]. Krakow: Wydawnictwo «Ignatianum», 2010, pp. 357–375.
80. Kamenskikh, A. Aleksey Losev on Religious Essence and the Generative Power of Platonism. Overcoming the Secular. Russian Religious Philosophy and Post-Secularism. Krakow: UPJPII, 2015, pp.149–155.
81. Voskresenskaia, J. The Real Beauty as Spirit of Facts. The World by A.F. Losev. Logos i Ethos, 2012, no. 2, pp. 25–35.
82. Łosiew, A.F. Neoplatonik Proklos [Neo-Platonic Proclus], in *Aleksy Łosiew, czyli rzecz o tytanizmie XX wieku* [Alexei Losev, or the thing on the titanism of the 20th century]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2012, pp.206–224.
83. Łosiew, A. *Filozofia rosyjska* [Russian philosophy]. Zielona Gora: Oficyna Uniwersytetu Zielonogórskiego, 2007.
84. Taho-Godi, A. Aleksy Fiodorowicz Łosiew [Alexei Fedorovich Losev], in Łosiew, A. *Filozofia rosyjska* [Russian philosophy]. Zielona Gora: Oficyna Uniwersytetu Zielonogórskiego, 2007, pp. 41–52.

85. Kiejzik, L. Aleksy Łosiew – ostatni przedstawiciel «srebrnego wieku» zesiany w XX wiek [Alexei Losev – the last representative of the «Silver Age» exiled in the twentieth century], in Łosiew, A. *Filozofia rosyjska* [Russian philosophy]. Zielona Góra: Oficyna Uniwersytetu Zielonogórskiego, 2007, pp. 53–61.
86. Kiejzik, L. Słowo od autorki przekładu [A word from the author of the translation], in Łosiew, A. *Filozofia rosyjska* [Russian philosophy]. Zielona Góra: Oficyna Uniwersytetu Zielonogórskiego, 2007, pp. 5–6.
87. Nota o autorze [Note about author], in Łosiew, A. *Filozofia rosyjska* [Russian philosophy]. Zielona Góra: Oficyna Uniwersytetu Zielonogórskiego, 2007, pp. 62–63.
88. Łosiew, A.F. Fajdros [The Phaedrus], in *Lectiones & Acroases Philosophicae*, 2013, no. 2, pp. 273–288.
89. Sapeńko, R. Od tłumacza [From the translator], in *Lectiones & Acroases Philosophicae*, 2013, no. 2, pp. 269–272.
90. Łosiew, A. Dwanaście tez o kulturze antycznej [Twelve theses on the ancient culture], in *Palamas – Bułgakow – Łosiew. Rozważania o religii, Imieniu Bożym, tragedii filozofii, wojnie i prawach człowieka* [Palamas – Bulgakov – Losev. Considerations of religion, the Name of God, the philosophy of tragedy, war and human rights]. Warsaw: WN Scholar, 2010, pp. 96–210.
91. Łosiew, A. Kobieta Myśliciel (fragment powieści) [A women-thinker (fragment of the story)], in *Przegląd Filozoficzny – Nowa Seria*, 2014, no. 2, pp. 91–102.
92. Burak, K. Voprosy estetyki, 1968 [The issues of aesthetics, 1968], in *Studia Estetyczne*, 1970, vol. VII, pp. 319–320.
93. Losev, A.F. Problema Rikharda Wagnera v proshlom i nastoyashchem [The problem of Richard Wagner in the past and present], in *Voprosy estetyki*, 1968, no. 8, pp. 67–196.
94. Bristiger, M. O filozofii muzyki Aleksieja F. Łosiewa (1893–1988) [The philosophy of music Alexei F. Łosiewa (1893–1988)], in *Res Facta Nova*, 2001, no. 4, pp. 103–114.
95. Bristiger, M. *Myśl muzyczna. Studia wybrane* [Musical thought. Selected studies]. Warsaw: Instytut Sztuki PAN, 2001.
96. Bristiger, M. *Osnovnoy vopros filosofii muzyki* [The main issue of philosophy of music], in Aleksey Fedorovich Losev [Alexei Fedorovich Losev]. Moscow: Russkiy mir, 2007, pp. 529–532.
97. Haardt, A. Das problem der musikalischen Zeit in Roman Ingardens Musikästhetik und in Aleksej Losevs «Phänomenologie der reiner Musik», in Roman Ingarden a filozofia naszego czasu [Roman Ingarden and the philosophy of our time]. Krakow: Polskie Towarzystwo Filozoficzne, 1995, pp. 217–227.
98. Zenkin, K.V. Muzyka v filosofskikh romanakh M.A. Bulgakova i A.F. Loseva [Music in the philosophical novels of M.A. Bulgakov and A.F. Losev], in *Dialog sztuk w kulturze Siowian wschodnich* [Dialogue of arts in the culture of the Eastern Slavs]. Krakow: Collegium Columbinum, 2008, vol. 2, pp. 282–288.
99. Takho-Godi, E. Problema Vechnoy Zhenstvennosti v romane «Zhenshchina-myslitel'» Alekseya Loseva [The problem of the Eternal Feminine in the novel «The Woman-thinker» by Alexey Losev], in *Kobieta i/jako Inny. Mit i figury kobiecości w literaturze i kulturze rosyjskiej XX–XXI wieku* [Woman and/as Other. Mit and feminine figures in literature and Russian culture 20th–21st century]. Lublin: Wydawnictwo UMCS, 2008, pp. 195–202.
100. Losev, A.F. Muzyka kak predmet logiki [Music as a subject of logic], in Losev, A.F. *Forma. Styl. Vyrazhenie* [Form. Style. Expression]. Moscow: Mysl', 1995, pp. 405–602.
101. Losev, A.F. *Zhenshchina-myslitel'* [A women-thinker], in *Moskwa*, 1993, no. 5, pp. 70–98.
102. Denn, M. Ob interese k «Dialektike mifa» i vliyanii losevskikh idey na Zapade [On the interest in «Dialectics of Myth» and the influence of Losev's ideas of the West], in *Byulleten' Biblioteki istorii russkoy filosofii i kul'tury «Dom A.F. Loseva»* [Bulletin of the Library of the History of Russian Philosophy and Culture «A.F. Losev's House»]. Moscow: Vodoley, 2012, issue 16, pp. 232–237.

THE ROLE OF A.F. LOSEV IN FORMING S.S. AVERINCEV'S VIEWS ON CULTURAL RELATIONS BETWEEN BYZANTINE AND OLD RUS'

JUSTYNA KROCZAK
University of Zielona Gora
9, Licealna, Zielona Gora, 65-417, Poland
j.kroczak@ifil.uz.zgora.pl

The article is an attempt to show the influence of philosophy of Alexey Losev on Sergey Averintsev's thought in the context of cultural relations between Old Rus' and Byzantine. The necessity to call upon Losev's heritage in this concrete issue might seem dubious. However, a quick review of his scientific interests shows that he had examined several examples of the Byzantine influences on Old Rus', namely: the impact of the Greek language upon the Old Church Slavonic; the motif of Sophia – Wisdom of God; Philokalian spirituality and hesychasm; neoplatonic foundations of Byzantine Renaissance and Russian Orthodoxy. It is the early period of Losev's philosophy that seems important, when his Slavophile interests can be noticed. The views of Dmitry Likhachev (Averintsev's spiritual father) and Pavel Florensky (Losev's spiritual father) are also mentioned, because their philosophy as well as philosophies of Losev and Averintsev were grounded in the study of «traditional Russian consciousness». It is claimed that the contents of Averintsev's judgment on the influence of Byzantium on Old Rus' has been a result of inspiration by Likhachev's works, but the formal side of research in the field is a result of Losev's influence.

Key words: culture of Old Rus'; Neoplatonism, Middle-Ages, Kievan Rus'; hesychasm, Likhachev's heritage, poetics, aesthetics, Silver Age, Losev's influence on Averintsev

References

1. P.A. Florenskiy po vospominaniyam Alekseyya Loseva [Pavel Florensky according to Aleksey Losev's memories], in *Pavel Aleksandrovich Florenskiy: pro et contra*. Saint-Petersburg: Izdatel'stvo Russkogo khristianskogo gumanitarnogo instituta, 1996, pp. 173–196.
2. Florenskiy, P.A. Pravoslavie [Orthodoxy], in Florenskiy, P.A. *Sochineniya v 4 t., t. 1* [Collected works in 4 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Mysl', 1994, pp. 638–662.
3. Losev, A.F. Termin «Magiya» v ponimanii P.A. Florenskogo [The term magic according to Pavel Florensky], in Florenskiy, P.A. *Imena* [On names]. Moscow: Eksmo, 2008, pp. 254–256.
4. Averintsev, S.S. *Avtobiografiya v tret'em litse (rukopis')* [An autobiography narrated in third person (manuscript)]. Available at: <http://olgasedakova.com/Moralia/1802> [10.12.2016].
5. Averintsev, S.S. O nekotorykh konstantakh traditsionnogo russkogo soznaniya [About some constants of a traditional Russian mind], in *Svyaz' vremen* [Connection of times]. Kiev: Dukh i litera, 2005, pp. 370–382.
6. Losev, A.F. *Estetika Vozrozhdeniya. Istoricheskiy smysl estetiki vozrozhdeniya* [Aesthetics of the Renaissance. Historical significance of the Renaissance aesthetics]. Moscow: Mysl', 1998, pp. 7–750.
7. Losev, A.F. *Ocherki antichnogo simvolizma i mifologii* [Outlines of ancient symbolism and mythology]. Moscow: Mysl', 1993, pp. 6–959.
8. *Pervoe pis'mo Palamy k Varlaamu* [The first letter from Palamas to Barlaam] II GPE I. 254. Aθήνα, 1963, pp. 1–7.
9. Shpet, G.G. *Ocherk razvitiya russkoy filosofii* [Outline of development of Russian philosophy]. Moscow: ROSSPEN, 2008, pp. 39–588.
10. Florovskiy, G.V. *Puti russkogo bogosloviya* [Ways of Russian Theology]. Moscow: Institut russkoy tsivilizatsii, 2009, pp. 10–843.
11. Shmeman, A. *Istoricheskiy put' Pravoslaviya* [Historical way of Orthodoxy]. New York: Izdatel'stvo imeni Chekhova, 1954, pp. 10–388.

12. Zen'kovskiy, V.V. *Istoriya russkoy filosofii* [History of Russian philosophy]. Moscow: Akademicheskiy Proekt, Raritet, 2001, pp. 15–880.
13. Florenskiy, P.A. *Iz istorii antichnoy filosofii* [From the history of ancient philosophy]. Moscow: Gumanitariy, 2007, pp. 5–597.
14. Bibikhin, V.V. Aleksey Fedorovich Losev. Sergey Sergeevich Averintsev. Moscow: Institut filosofii, teologii i istorii Svyatogo Fomы, 2006, pp. 9–415.
15. Averintsev, S.S. Vizantiya i Rus': dva tipa dukhovnosti [Byzantium and Rus': two types of spirituality], in *Drugoy Rim. Izbrannye stat'i* [A second Rome. Selected papers]. Saint-Petersburg: Amfora, 2005, pp. 315–365.
16. Bychkov, V.V. *Russkaya srednevekovaya estetika. XI–XVII veka* [Russian medieval aesthetics. XI–XVII centuries]. Moscow: Mysl', 1995, pp. 7–638.

MUSICAL PERCEPTION OF THE WORLD IN A.F. LOSEV'S PROSE

GIORGIA RIMONDI

University of Parma

9, v.le S. Michele, Parma, 43121, Italy

Institute of World Literature A.M. Gor'kij

25a, Povarskaja st., Moscow, 121069, Russian Federation

*The disclosure of the musical theme in the context of A.F. Losev 1930–1940-ies, which is considered as one of the forms of transmission of a more broad, philosophical worldview. Losev's reflections on the relationship between action and art are shown. The main concepts of Losev's philosophy of music are presented, taking into account the deep interrelation between theoretical and literary works. Particular attention is paid to the opposition of musical and logical thinking in Losev's works and in the novel *The Woman-Thinker*. It is shown that aesthetic knowledge is understood by Losev as the highest way of comprehending the depth of human existence, inaccessible to reasoning knowledge, thus, the musical worldview approaches «the general cosmic transformation of chaos», in which the lost connection between man and life is restored. Proceeding from the Losev assumption that a musical object can survive, and not logically think, it is concluded that this served as an occasion for Losev's treatment of the artistic sphere as a more effective form of the transmission of philosophical reflections.*

Key words: A.F. Losev's philosophical prose, musical worldview, philosophy of music, myth, «The Woman Thinker», musical experience, alogical becoming, unity of opposites, philosophical foundations of prose, dialectics of whole and parts

References

1. Losev, A.F. *Russkaya filosofiya* [Russian philosophy], in Losev, A.F. *Na rubezhe epokh: Raboty 1910-kh – nachala 1920-kh godov* [Between epochs: works of the 1910's and the beginning of the 1920's]. Moscow: Progress-Traditsiya, 2015, pp. 183–212.
2. Losev, A.F. *Zhizn'* [Life], in Losev, A.F. «Ya soslan v XX vek...». V 2 t., t.1 [«Exiled in the XX-th century...». In 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Vremya, 2002, pp. 504–554.
3. Losev, A.F. *Osnovnoy vopros filosofii muzyki* [The main problem in the philosophy of music], in Losev, A.F. *Filosofiya. Mifologiya. Kul'tura* [Philosophy. Mythology. Culture]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo Politicheskoy literatury, 1991, pp. 315–335.

-
4. Losev, A.F. Zhenshchina-Myslitel' [The Woman-thinker], in Losev, A.F. «Ya soslan v XX vek...». V 2 t., t. 2 [«Exiled in the XX-th century...». In 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Vremya, 2002, pp. 7–141.
 5. Losev, A.F. O mirooshchushchenii Eskhila [On Aeschylus' sense of the world], in Losev, A.F. *Forma – Stil’ – Vyrazhenie* [Form – Style – Expression]. Moscow: Mysl', 1995, pp. 781–880.
 6. Losev, A.F. Ocherk o muzyke [Essay on music], in Losev, A.F. *Forma – Stil’ – Vyrazhenie* [Form – Style – Expression]. Moscow: Mysl', 1995, pp. 637–666.
 7. Zenkin, K.V. *Muzyka. Eydos. Vremya: A.F. Losev i gorizonty sovremennoy nauki o muzyke* [Music. Eidos. Time: A.F. Losev and the horizons of contemporary sciences of music]. Moscow: Pamyati istoricheskoy mysli, 2015. 462 p.
 8. Losev, A.F. Muzyka kak predmet logiki [Music as a matter of logic], in Losev, A.F. *Forma. Stil’. Vyrazhenie* [Form – Style – Expression]. Moscow: Mysl', 1995, pp. 405–583.
 9. Losev, A.F. Trio Chaykovskogo [Trio of Tchaikovsky], in Losev, A.F. «Ya soslan v XX vek...». V 2 t., t. 1 [«Exiled in the XX-th century...». In 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Vremya, 2002, pp. 106–230.
 10. Losev A.F. Stroenie khudozhestvennogo mirooshchushcheniya [The structure of the artistic perception of the world], in Losev, A.F. *Forma – Stil’ – Vyrazhenie* [Form – Style – Expression]. Moscow: Mysl', 1995, pp. 297–320.
 11. Takho-Godi E.A. A.F. Losev. *Ot pisem k proze. Ot Pushkina do Pasternaka* [A.F. Losev. From the letters to the prose. From Pushkin to Pasternak]. Moscow: Dialog-MGU, 1999, 288 p.
 12. Takho-Godi E.A. *Khudozhestvennyy mir prozy A.F. Loseva* [A.F. Losev's artistic world of prose]. Moscow: Bol'shaya Rossiyskaya entsiklopediya, 2007. 399 p.

REVOLUTIONAL IDEA IN THE HISTORY OF RUSSIAN CONCEPTION AND THE MODERN DEBATES

THE DOCTRINE ABOUT RELIGIOUS REVOLUTION D.S. MEREZHKOVSKY, Z.N. GIPPIUS AND D.V. FILOSOFOV

V.P.KOSHARNYY
Penza State University
40, Krasnaya St., Penza, 440026, Russian Federation
E-mail: val_kosh@mail.ru

The religious philosophy of revolution of D.S. Merezhkovsky, Z.N. Gippius and D.V. Filosofov and philosophical and sociological comprehension of real revolutionary events by these thinkers in Russia of the beginning of the 20th century is considered. Comparative-historical and system and analytical methods are used as methodological tools, the using of them allows to reveal the content and originality of philosophical-sociological views on the problem of revolution. The core content and methodological approaches to solving the problem of revolution are revealed. The metaphysical basis of interpretation of the problem of revolution in D.S. Merezhkovsky, Z.N. Gippius, D.V. Filosofov's works is revealed. The ambiguous nature of the interpretation of the problem of revolution by these thinkers is shown. It is defined that religious revolutionism of Merezhkovsky group represented one of the numerous forms of revolutionism arising at the critical stages of historical development. It is established that the value of reflections of D. Merezhkovsky and his adherents for the Russian social thought primarily consisted in the intention to find the way to overcoming the crisis of socio-political and spiritual life of Russia.

Key words: *Russian revolution, religious revolution, overcoming the opposites of spirit and flesh, the religion of the Third Testament, historical Christianity, a new religious community, the revolution of the spirit, a religious populism.*

References

1. *Zapiski Peterburgskikh religiozno-filosofskikh sobraniy (1902–1903 gg.)* [Notes of the St. Petersburg religious and philosophical meetings (1902–1903)]. Saint-Petersburg: Sklad M.V. Pirozhkova, 1906. 531 p.
2. Kuvakin, V.A. *Religioznaya filosofiya v Rossii: Nachalo XX veka* [Religious philosophy in Russia: The beginning of the XX century]. Moscow: Mysl', 1980. 309 p.
3. Savel'ev, S.N. *Ideynoe bankrotstvo bogoiskatel'stva v Rossii v nachale XX veka: Istorikoreligiovedcheskiy ocherk* [Ideological bankruptcy of God-seeking in Russia at the beginning of the 20th century: Historical and theological sketch]. Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo LGU, 1987. 184 p.
4. Scherrer, J. *Die Petersburger religiophilosophischen Vereinigungen. Die Entwicklung des religiösen Selbstverständnisses ihrer Intelligenz-Mitglieder (1901–1917)* [The inhabitants of St. Petersburg religiophilosophenunions. The development religiösen Selbstverständnisses of her Intelligencijarentlimbs (in 1901–1917)]. Berlin; Wiesbaden: Otto Harrasowitz, 1973. 473 p.
5. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. L. Tolstoy i Dostoevskiy [L. Tolstoy and Dostoevsky], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XI* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XI]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914. 239 p.
6. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Ne mir, no mech. K budushchey kritike khristianstva* [Not the peace, but sword. To future criticism of Christianity], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XIII* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XIII]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914. 168 p.

7. Gippius, Z.N. *Zhivye litsa. Vospominaniya. Kn. 2* [The LivingFaces.Memoirs, book 2]. Tbilisi: Merani, 1991. 384 p.
8. Berdyaev, N.A. Novoe khristianstvo (D.S. Merezhkovskiy) [New Christianity (D.S. Merezhkovsky)], in *N. Berdyaev o russkoy filosofii. Ch. 2* [N. Berdyaev about Russian Philosophy. Part 2]. Sverdlovsk: Izdatel'stvo Ural'skogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta, 1991, pp. 127–148.
9. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. Sem' smirennyykh [Seven humble], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XV* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XV]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. 71–83.
10. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. [Vvedenie k polnomu sobraniyu sochineniy izd., Tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina. 1914 god] [Introduction to complete works of prod. I.D. Sytin's associations. 1914], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. I* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. I]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. V–VIII.
11. Merezhkovskiy, D. Avtobiograficheskaya zametka [Autobiographical note], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XXIV* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XXIV]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. 107–116.
12. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. O novom religioznom deystvii (Otkrytoe pis'mo N.A. Berdyaevu) [About new religious action (Open letter to N.A. Berdyaev)], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XIV* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XIV]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. 166–187.
13. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. Prorok russkoy revolyutsii (K yubileyu Dostoevskogo) [The prophet of the Russian revolution (To Dostoyevsky's anniversary)], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XIV* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XIV]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. 188–238.
14. Merejkowsky, D., Hippius, Z., Philosophoff, D. *Le tsar et la revolution. Le tsar pape. La revolution et la violence. Religion et revolution. La vraie force du tsarisme* [The Tsar and the Revolution. The Tsar Pope. The Revolution and Violence. The Religion and Revolution. The True Force of Tsarism]. Paris: Societe du Mercure de France, 1907. 283 p.
15. Merezhkovskiy, D., Gippius, Z., Filosofov, D. Tsar' i revolyutsiya [The king and revolution], in *Sbornik* [Collection]. Moscow: OGI, 1999. 224 p.
16. Berdyaev, N. Merezhkovskiy o revolyutsii [Merezhkovsky about Revolution], in *Moskovskiy ezhenedel'nik*, 1908, no. 25, 25 iyulya, pp. 3–12.
17. *Zapiski S.-Peterburgskogo religioznofilosofskogo obshchestva. Vyp. 2* [Notes of the St. Petersburg religiousphilosophical society. Issue 2]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipolitografiya «Gerold», 1908. 75 p.
18. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. Gryadushchiy Kham [The Forthcoming Ham], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XIV* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XIV]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. 5–39.
19. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. Teper' ili nikogda [Now or Never], in Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 24 t., t. XIV* [Complete Works in 24 vol., vol. XIV]. Moscow: Tipografiya tovarishchestva I.D. Sytina, 1914, pp. 116–144.
20. Merezhkovskiy, D.S., Gippius, Z.N., Filosofov, D.V., Zlobin, V.A. *Tsarstvo Antikhrista. Bol'shevizm, Evropa i Rossiya* [The Kingdom Of The Beast. Bolshevism, Europe and Russia]. Munich: Drei Masken Verlag, 1922. 285 p.
21. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Litsa svyatykh ot Iisusa k nam* [The faces of Saints from Jesus to us]. Moscow: OOO « Izdatel'stvo AST»; Khar'kov: «Folio», 2000. 496 p.

THE «SOFT REVOLUTION» OF MYSTICAL ANARCHISM

N.I. DIMITROVA

Institute for the Study of Societies and Knowledge at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,
4, Serdika, Sofia, 1000, Bulgaria
E-mail: ninaivdimitrova@abv.bg

The subject of this paper is the revolutionary attitude of the Russian social-spiritual movement called «mystical anarchism». The article describes its specific characters summarized mainly by the idea of non-acceptance of the world. The views of Vyacheslav Ivanov, Dmitrij Merezhkovsky and Georgij Chukov are commented briefly. The author maintains that the idea of non-acceptance of the world is a Gnostic one. An analogy between the ancient Gnosticism and the «mystical anarchism» is drawn. The focus of the paper is on the views of Vasilij Nalimov and Aleksej Sinyagin whose activity is in the context of the Soviet reality. Some comments are made on Vasilij Nalimov's autobiographical book «Tightrope Walking» (1998). The text of the book is analyzed from the viewpoint of Gnosticism as the main attitude of the Russian scientist. Aleksej Sinyagin's study «Two Types of Christianity in Russia» is also discussed. The author shows the similarities between the Gnostic essence of mystical anarchism and the ideas of the Bulgarian Bogomils who are highly appreciated by the Russian thinker. It is concluded that the Gnostic essence of the mystic-anarchist ideas determines their destructive character and that the imagined by the representatives of this movement revolution could not at all be «soft».

Key words: *Mystical anarchism, Revolution, Gnosticism, The idea of non-acceptance of the world, Russia's Silver age, Russian revolutionary intelligentsia, Bulgarian Bogomilism, Russian Bogomilism, Apostolic Christianity, Eschatologism*

References

1. Berdyaev, N.A. Revolyutsiya i reaktsiya [Revolution and Reaction], in Berdyaev, N.A. *Dukhovnye osnovy russkoy revolyutsii* [The Spiritual Basis of the Russian Revolution]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdatel'stvo Russkogo Khristianskogo gumanitarnogo instituta, 1999, pp. 176–186.
2. Koselleck, R. Revolution. Geschichtliche Grundbegriffe: Historisches Lexikon zur politisch-sozialen Sprache in Deutschland, B.Vherausgegeben von Otto Brunner, Werner Conze und Reinhart Koselleck. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 1984, pp. 653–788.
3. Ivanov, VI. Ideya nepriyatiya mira [The Idea of Non-Acceptance of the World], in Ivanov, VI. *Rodnoe i vselenskoe* [Matters Native and Universal]. Moscow: Respublika, 1994, pp. 51–59.
4. Kosharnyy V.P. «Misticheskiy anarkhizm» v Rossii nachala XX veka [The Mystical Anarchism in Russia at the Beginning of the XXth Century], in *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedeniy. Povolzhskiy rayon. Gumanitarnye nauki*, 2008, no. 2, pp. 50–56.
5. Merezhkovskiy D.S. Ne mir, no mech. K budushchey kritike khristianstva [Not Peace but a Sword. Towards the Future Critics of Christianity], in Merezhkovskiy D.S. *Ne mir, no mech* [Not Peace but a Sword]. Moscow: Direkt-media, 2014, pp. 5–30.
6. Nikitin, A.L. *Mistiki, rozenkretytsery, tampliery v sovetskoy Rossii* [Mystics, Rosicrucians and Templars in Soviet Russia]. Moscow: Agraf, 2000, 209 p.
7. Nalimov, V.V. *Kanatokhodets* [Tightrope Walking]. Moscow: Izdatel'skaya gruppa Progress, 1998. 456 p.
8. Yakovenko, I.G. Manikheo-gnosticheskiy kompleks v russkoy kul'ture [The Manichaean-Gnostic Complex in Russian Culture], in Yakovenko, I.G., Muzykantskiy, A.I. *Manikheystvo i gnostitsizm: kul'turnye kody russkoy tsivilizatsii* [Manichaeanism and Gnosticism: the Culture Codes of Russian Civilization]. Moscow: Russkiy put', 2010, pp. 13–184.
9. Abaev, V.I. Dikhotomy v istorii religiy. Predislovie k stat'e A.A. Sinyagina «Dva khristianstva na Rusi») [The Dichotomy in the History of Religion. Foreword to A.A. Sinyagin's Article «Two Christianities in Russia»], in Abaev, V.I. *Izbrannye trudy. T. 1. Religiya. Fol'klor. Literatura* [Selected Works. Religion. Folklore, Literature]. Vladikavkaz: Izdatel'stvo «IR», 1990, pp. 71–74.
10. Sinyagin, A.A. Dva khristianstva na Rusi. [Two Types of Christianity in Russia], in *Prilozhenie k: VI. Abaev. Izbrannye trudy. Religiya, fol'klor, literatura* [Supplement to: Abaev, VI. Selected Works. Religion. Folklore, Literature]. Vladikavkaz: Izdatel'stvo «IR», 1990, pp. 573–637.
11. Obolenski D.D. *Bogomilite. Studiya v'rku balkanskoto novomanikheystvo* [The Bogomils. A Study in Balkan Neo-Manichaeanism]. Sofiya: Zlatorog, 1998. 304 p.
12. Stoyanov, Y. Medieval Christian Dualist Perceptions and Conceptions of Biblical Paradise. *Studia ceranea*, 2013, no. 3, pp. 149–166.

УДК 94:82-054.72
ББК 63.3(2):83-284.6

SOME REMARKS ON THE MEMORY OF THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION IN ÉMIGRÉ CULTURE

SVETLANA GARZIANO
MARGE, Université Jean Moulin Lyon 3,
6 cours Albert Thomas, 69008, LYON, France
E-mail: svetlana.garziano@univ-lyon3.fr

The goal of this paper consists of analysing the work of memory in exile around the Russian Revolution, as well as the evolutionary character of the revolutionary memory in émigré culture. The task of the modern researcher therefore is to try to demythologise the Russian Revolution through the horizontal and vertical study of memory in exile. The paper primarily considers émigré works about the Russian revolution coming from France. The author also focuses on émigré critics' opinions on the literary processes occurring in Russian literature after the October Revolution and, by comparing critical essays of different émigré critics, analyses three topics: émigré critics' opinions on the «new» in 20th-century Russian literature, émigré literature's criticism and Soviet literature's criticism. Methods of literary and linguistic analysis were used, along with comparative analysis of texts, a search and sample method of working with cases and an interdisciplinary method. A considerable body of émigré periodical texts was studied. The memory of revolution in exile is examined from two points of view: firstly, according to the geographic criterion, the memory of the Revolution mainly in France, and, secondly, according to the literature theory criterion, traces of revolutionary memory and of its consequences in émigré criticism and poetics.

Key words: *Russian Revolution, revolutionary memory, émigré culture, émigré criticism, the principle of the «new» in literature, Soviet literature*

What is the memory of revolution?

The year 2017 marked the 100th anniversary of the Russian Revolution. Without entering into discussions concerning commemoration, the Russian Revolution of 1917 is undeniably a historical event of global importance; it would be interesting to approach it, a hundred years later, through two themes: that of memory, and that of exile.

The revolution is a period when collective memory mutates rapidly; it produces a mnemonic 'tabula rasa', a rupture in the chains of memory which allows participants to view the past differently. If we consider the perceptual and mnemonic processes through the space-time perspective, revolutionary action itself is shown to correspond *sub specie aeternitatis* to an eminently short lapse of time, whereas the act of memory has the capacity to unfold over the course of centuries and fulfils itself in the duration of an extended reflexive space. If we simplify the revolutionary processes from the point of view of mnemonic processes, we can observe that the collective memory in Soviet Russia is founded on the phenomenon of sudden transformation of memories, on loss of memory, on the abnegation of old memory and the creation of a new memory based on a monolithic political ideology, whereas life in exile is constructed on the conservation of the precious pre-revolution memory as well as on the multiple ideology within memory.

The revolution is a brutal fracture of the historical process, an abrupt transformation of the collective memory where the mnemonic bearings are abolished, deconstructed, and the revolted population looks to build a new memory founded on a certain ideology and a selection of facts from the past. The problem of memory is linked, in the case of our subject matter, to the singularly traumatic event that is the revolution. The experienced memory becomes a reconstructed, reimagined memory; it is also a form of imagination that associates memories and creates gateways between them in the act of remembering. As well as this, it has the ability to combine, through the imagination, semantic series that are not similar. We can cite an apt quote from Wladimir Weidle's book "Aristaeus's Bees: Essay on the Fate of the Letters and the Arts": "any memory, any inner life, and the very existence of the human person is a part of imagination, in the sense that reason alone cannot insure any degree of certainty"¹. Memory creates, invents and rebuilds the past through imagination. It is also the rediscovery and the production of truth, as well as a means of transmission to future generations.

Memory of the Revolution and Émigré Culture

Certain historical facts require more mental rumination than others. The memory of the first wave of Russian emigration (1917–1940) in France and in other countries can mainly be divided into three branches: memory of Imperial Russia, memory of the Russian Revolution of 1917, and memory of Russian emigration itself. The present paper aims to historically commemorate the centenary of the Russian Revolution through the prism of the reconstruction of memory in exile, and in particular in émigré literature.

A Russian joke states that the Russians borrowed two things from the French: the Enlightenment, and the French Revolution. The budding Russian Revolution was indeed immediately compared to the French Revolution throughout Europe, but also served as a model for various socialist revolutions during the 20th Century. Imperial Russia found itself moving towards the first aborted revolution of 1905, the 'Liberal Revolution' in February that lasted only a few months and finally the Communist Revolution, whose regime would be established in the country for over 70 years. The October Revolution of 1917, followed by a civil war between the Reds and the Whites and accompanied by terrible famine that ravaged the country, divided Russian society into two parties: Soviet and Émigré. The Russian emigration was both massive and diverse. A great number of intellectuals managed to go into exile: politicians, scholars, philosophers, artists, lawyers, scientists, soldiers, members of the clergy, etc. The trauma of revolution and forced departure created abundant literature: memoirs, autobiographies, testimonies, novels and historical works. Multiple volumes of archives and chronicles from the Russian Revolution were edited in exile, for example "The Archives of the Russian Revolution in Twenty-Two Volumes"² edited by Iosif Gessen.

¹ См.: Weidlé W. *Les abeilles d'Aristée. Essai sur le destin actuel des lettres et des arts*. Paris: Gallimard, 1954. C. 39 [1].

² См.: Гессен И.В. *Архив русской революции. I–XXII*. Берлин: СЛОВО, 1922–1937 [2].

The memory of the revolution in emigration has known surprising transformations throughout a century full of troubles. Memories of the revolution follow the exiled even after they have left the country. Accounts of the revolution are always constructed from memory association; this happens on the paradigmatic axis, where memory selects and actualises ‘possibilities’, as the discourse advances on the axis of enunciation. In his work “The Unknown Revolution. 1917–1921”, Voline stresses the subjective nature of memory in exile. He claims that the subjectivity specific to the human mind and body can ‘contaminate’ the course of memory:

In the great vortex of the revolution, a multitude of facts remain lost indefinitely, engulfed by huge crevasses that open and close again at any time. Those living through a revolution, the millions of men who, one way or another, are carried away by the hurricane, alas! care little to note what they have seen, known, thought or experienced for future generations. [...] Depending on whether the writer is a “White”, a “Democrat”, a “Socialist”, a “Stalinist” or a “Trotskyist”, everything changes. Reality itself is shaped to suit the narrator. The more you try to fix it, the less you manage to. The authors consistently ignored facts of the utmost importance if these did not line up with their ideas, interest them, or suit them [3, c. 7].

The preservation of reality is produced by the mediation of memory. Memories, always linked to a present sensation of the current body, retain and magnify, transfer and transform the perception of the past, which is never preserved unaltered. The past is constantly transformed by memory, which is a function of life. During the historical or artistic reconstruction of the past, the human mind resorts to mnemonic processes as well as imagination. Far from being a ‘sarcophagus’, the mind revives memories by recreating and inventing them according to an interpretative, associative and semantic model. In this way, memory shows itself to be an authentically creative faculty. It is a structure that actualises a link between the past and present state. As Bergson remarks in “Matter and Memory”, this recognition “implies [...] a more or less powerful consciousness, which searches for pure individual memories within the pure general memory, in order to gradually materialise them through contact with present perception” [4, c. 268]. Two states of consciousness, past and present, interfere with the process of remembering. In “Spiritual Energy” Bergson notes that “all consciousness is memory – conservation and accumulation of the past in the present” [5, c. 818].

The exiled authors synchronise and superimpose events in their memory that belong to different eras and happened in several places. The memory-based literature of the revolution in exile is very well represented by the works of political figures (Georgy Lvov, President of the Provisional Government; Alexander Kerensky, President of the Provisional Government; Pavel Milyukov, Minister of Foreign Affairs of the Provisional Government; the memoirs of Vasily Maklakov, Minister of Justice of the Provisional Government and Ambassador for this government in France; “The Revolution Betrayed”, “Literature and Revolution” and “Exile Journal: 1935” by Leon Trotsky; “Contemporary Annals”, an émigré review of the Socialist Revolutionary Party; Mark Vishniak; Catherine Breshkovsky, “Grandmother of the Russian Revolution”; Grigory Aleksinsky). There is an abundant number of memoirs about soldiers and written by soldiers (the Generals Wrangel, Denikin, Kolchak, Kornilov, Golovin, the revolted Russian regiments of 1917

at “La Courtine”³.) We can cite amongst others, “The Revolution and Bolshevism in Russia”⁴ (1920) by Nikolai Zvorykin. Boris Mirkin-Getzevich (Mirsky), professor of Russian law in France, wrote several articles on the Russian revolution and a preface for the work of H.D Barbagelata: “The French Revolution and Latin America”⁵ (1936). A. Prudhomme, M. Freund and V.-R. Idelson reflected on the Bolshevik Revolution and the legal status of the Russians (JDI, 1924). The Nansen Passport was created for Russian refugees and stateless people. Intellectuals exiled by Lenin by way of the ‘Philosophers’ Ship’ in 1922 forged their own philosophical conception of the Revolution. All the émigré writers and poets who lived through the revolution describe it in one way or another in their literary texts (e.g. “Cursed Days” (1925–1927) by Ivan Bunin, “My Journal Under the Terror” and “Petrograd: Year 1919” by Zinaida Gippius, “The Sun of the Dead” (1923) by Ivan Shmelyov, “Two Revolutions: The French Revolution and the Russian Revolution” (1921) and “Lenin” (1919) by Mark Landau-Aldanov, “A Dozen Knives in the Back of the Revolution” (1928) by Arkady Averchenko, “The Revolution behind the Door” by Yury Annenkov, “Seasons” by Mikhail Osorgin, “An Epilogue of Vendée” by Boris Zajtzev). Émigré writers created diverse metaphors of the revolution in their poetic and critical work. The associative shift in meaning and the transfer of connections suggests a metamorphosis of memories in the mind.

The spectre of exile includes exiles from the Russian empire and bordering countries, as well as their circle in their adoptive countries. Exile brings about multilingual writing; memorial writing on the Russian Revolution has been presented in many languages: naturally in Russian, but also in the languages of multiple adoptive countries (e.g. a bibliography of the texts in translation or written directly in French in Leonid Livak’s “Russian Émigrés in the Intellectual and Literary Life of Interwar France: A Bibliographical Essay”⁶, 2010). In the 20’s and 30’s of the 20th century, the Payot editions publish in French a number of memoirs by politicians, military men, grand dukes and noble Russian émigrés (e.g. “The Russian Revolution 1917” (1928)⁷, “The Kerensky Experience” (1936)⁸ and “The Truth About the Romanov Massacre” (1936)⁹ by Kerensky), historical novels (e.g. “From the Imperial Eagle to the Red Flag”¹⁰ by Platon Krasnov) and investigations (“The Fall of the Tsarist Regime. Interrogations of Ministers, Advisors, Generals, Senior Civil Servants of the Imperial Russian Court by the Extraordinary Commission of the 1917 Provisional Government” (1927)¹¹,

³ CM.: Poitevin P. La mutinerie de La Courtine: les régiments russes révoltés en 1917 au centre de la France. Paris: Payot, 1938 [6].

⁴ CM.: Zvorykin N. La révolution et le bolchevisme en Russie. Paris: Perrin, 1920 [7].

⁵ CM.: Barbagelata H.D. La Révolution française et l’Amérique latine. Paris: Sirey, 1936 [8].

⁶ CM.: Livak L. Russian Émigrés in the Intellectual and Literary Life of Interwar France: A Bibliographical Essay. Montreal: McGill-Queen’s University Press, 2010 [9].

⁷ CM.: Kerenski A. La révolution russe 1917. Paris: Payot, 1928 [10].

⁸ CM.: Kerenski A. L’expérience Kerenski. Paris: Payot, 1936 [11].

⁹ CM.: Kerenski A. La vérité sur le massacre des Romanov. Paris: Payot, 1936 [12].

¹⁰ CM.: Krasnov P. De l'aigle impérial au drapeau rouge. Paris: Payot, 1926 [13].

¹¹ CM.: La chute du régime tsariste. Interrogatoires des ministres, conseillers, généraux, hauts fonctionnaires de la cour impériale russe par la commission extraordinaire du gouvernement provisoire de 1917. Préface de Vassili Maklakoff. Paris: Payot, 1927 [14].

“Inquest into the Assassination of the Imperial Russian Family: with Proofs, Interrogations and the Depositions of the Witnesses and the Accused” (1924)¹² by Nikolaï Sokolov). Foreign writers who originated from the Russian Empire also treat the memory of the Revolution (Joseph Kessel, Irène Némirovsky, Gabriel Arout, Elsa Triolet, Vladimir Pozner, Henri Troyat, Nathalie Sarraute, Alain Bosquet, George Goy, Romain Gary, Jacques Serguine, Moacyr Jaime Sciliar, etc.). “Nicholas II” by Henri Troyat or “October Harvest” by Joseph Kessel serve as examples of this phenomenon.

The study of the problem can be multi-faceted: what fractures and reconstructions of memory happen in the mind of the Russian émigré? How are imminent revolutionary figures perceived in émigré written works (Lenin, Stalin, Mayakovsky, Gorky, etc.)? How does the young émigré generation approach the theme of revolution? Can we speak of a semantic unity in the memory of the revolution? How should we think of or write about the memory of the revolution in émigré literature? How do émigré periodicals treat the question of revolutionary memory over the years? We will try to answer some of these questions from the perspective of émigré criticism.

Émigré criticism

The era of emigration is also a good time for new ideas and new approaches in literary theory. From 1917 onwards, Russian literature divided into two separate entities, which, although independent from each other, were still fundamentally linked. Many have reflected on the causes and consequences of this unprecedented literary split.

A brief look at the critical writings of emigration suffices to see that authors have a certain fixation on what was happening in the Soviet Union and especially on the literature generated by its regime. It is as if this helped them to understand and conceptualise their own status as it changed into an existential position. The reasons and consequences of émigré critics returning to this theme with such remarkable consistency must be considered.

The principle of the “new” in literature viewed by émigré critics

In the article “A new prose” [“Новая проза”] published in 1926 in *The Link* [Зееню], Konstantin Mochulsky wonders if a new prose is scientifically untenable and gives the Marxist perspective that literature depends on the economic organization of society; a reorganization of this structure brought on by the revolution always generates a new literature. This theory does not convince émigré thinkers¹³.

Wladimir Weidle’s “Old and new in Twentieth-Century Russian Literature” [“Традиционное и новое в русской литературе двадцатого века”] characterises the emigrant literature in 1972. Fifty years of existence give more clarity and methodological hindsight in critical thinking focused on the semantic union of two literatures: Soviet

¹² См.: Sokolov N. Enquête judiciaire sur l'assassinat de la famille impériale russe: avec les preuves, les interrogatoires et les dépositions des témoins et des accusés. Paris: Payot, 1924 [15].

¹³ См.: Мочульский К.В. Новая проза // Критика русского зарубежья II. М.: Олимп. Библиотека русской критики, 2002. С. 18–19 [16].

and émigré. According to the critic, these two literatures have to be analysed and interpreted as a whole, one giving its reason for existence to the other¹⁴.

Osorgin does not agree with Gazdanov's attitude vis-à-vis émigré literature and presents the intransigent position that emigrant thinkers take towards Soviet literature and vice versa in his article “About «young writers»” [“О «молодых писателях»”] published in *Contemporary papers* [*Современные записки*, 1924, No. 19]. According to him, the process of decline in the art is the same for both literatures and corresponds to aesthetic phenomena occurring in international literature. Weidle proposed that the split into two literatures occurred gradually, starting with the October Revolution. By Mayakovsky's death, two Russian literatures were established¹⁵.

The theme of the old and the new often appears in the pages of emigrant criticism. New values are founded on traditions and cultural heritage, and therefore it is often difficult to discern them in the present day.

Émigré literature's criticism

In general, émigré critics find fault in Soviet literature and criticism of the opposite side, “their” side, is rare but still exists. In the essay “Without readers” [“Без читателя”] published in *Numbers* [*Числа*] in 1931, Georgy Ivanov notes the “triumph of virtue” in emigration¹⁶. In the article “Leskov's grandchildren” [“Внуки Лескова”] published in 1952 in *Renaissance* [*Возрождение*], Nicholas Ulyanov speaks of social order in emigration. His ideas resemble those of Georgy Ivanov¹⁷.

In the article “«A rose of Jericho»” [“«Роза Иерихона»”] published in *Russian newspaper* [*Русская газета*] in 1924, Sasha Chorny shows how Soviet literature functions and the beginning of this article demonstrates typological similarities with Nabokov's “Triumph of Virtue” [“Торжество добродетели”, *The Helm* [*Руль*], 1930]¹⁸. Sasha Chorny's demonstration includes a ternary character. Thesis: Soviet literature is bad; antithesis: the defects of émigré literature are obvious; summary: Bunin, thanks to his literary gift, is above the decline observed in Soviet and émigré arts¹⁹. Examining the literary situation of the time in the article “Bryusov's «experiences»” [“«Опыты «Брюсова»”] published in *Russian newspaper* in 1925, Sasha Chorny considers that the true artists emigrated after the October Revolution and remained faithful to the artistic credo, without replacing it with a servile attitude to a state ideology²⁰.

¹⁴ См.: Вейдле В.В. Традиционное и новое в русской литературе двадцатого века // Русская литература в эмиграции / под ред. Н.П. Полторацкого. Питтсбург, 1972. С. 7–12 [17].

¹⁵ См.: Осоргин М.А. О «молодых писателях» // Критика русского зарубежья I. М.: Олимп. Библиотека русской критики, 2002. С. 141–142 [18].

¹⁶ См.: Иванов Г.В. Без читателя // Числа. Париж, 1931. № 5. С. 150–151 [19].

¹⁷ См.: Шварц-Омонский Н. Внуки Лескова // Возрождение. Париж, 1952, июль–август. № 22. С. 164 [20].

¹⁸ См.: Набоков В.В. Торжество добродетели // Собрание сочинений русского периода в 5 т. Т. 2. СПб.: Симпозиум, 2001. С. 683–688 [21].

¹⁹ См.: Черный С. «Роза Иерихона» // Критика русского зарубежья I. М.: Олимп. Библиотека русской критики, 2002. С. 173–174 [22].

²⁰ См.: Черный С. «Опыты» Брюсова // Критика русского зарубежья I. М.: Олимп. Библиотека русской критики, 2002. С. 179 [23].

Khodasevich, at the beginning of his article “Literature in exile” [“Литература в изгнании”] published in 1933 in *Renaissance*, finds that the two literatures are facing different problems, but that the consequences arising from these problems lead to the same results for both literatures. According to Khodasevich, émigré artistic work is full of connotations that are not related to art²¹.

These examples show that the émigré critics are critical not only of Soviet literature, but also of their own literature in exile.

Soviet literature's criticism

In the essay “In Memory of Soviet literature” [“Памяти советской литературы”], Georgy Adamovich indicates that the concept of the creative personality was destroyed in the Soviet Union, drawing a contrast between the few remaining authentic authors who defend freedom of creation and the majority of mediocre writers accommodating the Soviet regime²².

Khodasevich is of the same mind as Adamovich on this point. In the article “On the topic of Soviet literature” [“О советской литературе”] published in 1938 in *Renaissance*, Vladislav Khodasevich criticises literary production in the Soviet Union, resorting to metaphors from the religious and mathematical fields. Khodasevich follows the logic that the writer’s freedom to see the world is an axiom, and that this axiom should be applied to Soviet literature. Despite aesthetic differences, émigré critics should be interested in and study their opponent. But according to Khodasevich this idea is nonsensical as critics have nothing left to study²³.

Emigration also reflects on the role of the critic in the interpretation of historical events that influence artistic production. In the article “On the current state of Russian literature” [“О нынешнем состоянии русской литературы”] published in 1926 in *The Person with good intentions* [Благонамеренный], Svyatopolk-Mirsky says that the critic must detach from his experience and principles and try to understand artistic phenomena from the inside, with its internal logic²⁴. In another article, Svyatopolk-Mirsky differentiates Moscows’ poets from St. Petersburg’s poets. Muscovite poets feel they are a part of Bolshevism, while poets from St. Petersburg do not belong to it. Even if they are under the yoke of Bolshevism, continues Svyatopolk-Mirsky, their status of sacred poet allows them to see the phenomenon as a whole from above; they can take enough distance from Bolshevism to remain objective. Svyatopolk-Mirsky proposes a more nuanced view of Soviet literature²⁵.

²¹ См.: Ходасевич В.Ф. Литература в изгнании // Критика русского зарубежья I. М.: Олимп. Библиотека русской критики, 2002. С. 339–341 [24].

²² См.: Адамович Г.В. Памяти советской литературы // Русские записки. Париж, 1937. № 2. С. 208 [25].

²³ См.: Ходасевич В.Ф. О советской литературе // Ходасевич В.Ф. Собрание сочинений в 4 т. Т. 2. М.: Согласие, 1996. С. 421–425 [26].

²⁴ См.: Святополк-Мирский Д.П. О нынешнем состоянии русской литературы // Благонамеренный. Брюссель, 1926, январь–февраль. № 1. С. 90–97 [27].

²⁵ См.: Святополк-Мирский Д.П. О современном состоянии русской поэзии // Новый журнал. Нью-Йорк, 1978. № 131. С. 105 [28].

The authors define themselves by comparing their work to Soviet literature. We can find numerous examples of various articles, book reviews, chronicles, literary chronicles and bibliographies in émigréperiodicals. Numerous reviews of Soviet books prove that émigré critics diligently read the literature produced after the October Revolution.

Conclusion

The same historical event, when subjected to deforming and transforming effects in the realm of memory, is perceived as either positive or negative depending on epochs. In conclusion, we can say that literary debates on uniqueness / duality after the revolution were reviving in exile in the 20–30s and reached their peak at the end of the 30s, coinciding with the celebration of the 150th anniversary of Pushkin's death, as well as the 20th anniversary of 1917 (e.g. Adamovich's essay "Twenty years" in *The Latest News* [Последние новости], 1937). Since 1945, émigré and Soviet literature have been increasingly considered as one. Despite the various ethical and political opinions expressed in emigration, émigré critics all pursued similar aesthetic purposes in the development of Russian literature, namely, conservation and renovation of artistic poetic text.

In this paper, we examined the memory of revolution in exile from two points of view: firstly, according to the geographic criterion, the memory of the Revolution in France, and, secondly, according to the literature theory criterion, traces of revolutionary memory and of its consequences in émigré criticism and poetics. But, to our mind, the memory of the Russian revolution in exile is a vast field of research that must be restudied, a hundred years after the facts, from different points of view and in various disciplines.

References

1. Weidlé, W. *Les abeilles d'Aristée. Essai sur le destin actuel des lettres et des arts* [Aristaeus's Bees: Essay on the Fate of the Letters and the Arts]. Paris: Gallimard, 1954. 346 p.
2. Gessen, I.V. *Arkhiv russkoy revolyutsii. I–XXII* [The Archives of the Russian Revolution in Twenty-Two Volumes]. Berlin: Slovo, 1922–1937.
3. Voline, V. *La révolution inconnue. 1917–1921* [The Unknown Revolution. 1917–1921]. Paris: Belfond, 1947. 432 p.
4. Bergson, H. *Matière et mémoire* [Matter and Memory]. Paris: Quadrige/PUF, 2004. 281 p.
5. Bergson, H. L'énergie spirituelle [Mind-energy], in *Œuvres* [Works]. Paris: Quadrige/PUF, 1963, pp. 813–977.
6. Poitevin, P. *La mutinerie de La Courtine: les régiments russes révoltés en 1917 au centre de la France* [The revolted Russian regiments of 1917 at «La Courtine»]. Paris: Payot, 1938. 200 p.
7. Zvorykin, N. *La révolution et le bolchevisme en Russie* [The Revolution and Bolshevism in Russia]. Paris: Perrin, 1920. 310 p.
8. Barbagelata, H.D. *La Révolution française et l'Amérique latine* [The French Revolution and Latin America]. Paris: Sirey, 1936. 84 p.
9. Livak, L. Russian Émigrés in the Intellectual and Literary Life of Interwar France: A Bibliographical Essay. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010. 542 p.
10. Kerenski, A. *La révolution russe 1917* [The Russian Revolution 1917]. Paris: Payot, 1928. 399 p.
11. Kerenski, A. *L'expérience Kerenski* [The Kerensky Experience]. Paris: Payot, 1936. 184 p.
12. Kerenski, A. *La vérité sur le massacre des Romanov* [The Truth About the Romanov Massacre]. Paris: Payot, 1936. 274 p.

13. Krasnov, P. *De l'aigle impérial au drapeau rouge* [From the Imperial Eagle to the Red Flag]. Paris: Payot, 1926. 655 p.
14. *La chute du régime tsariste. Interrogatoires des ministres, conseillers, généraux, hauts fonctionnaires de la cour impériale russe par la commission extraordinaire du gouvernement provisoire de 1917. Préface de Vassili Maklakoff* [The Fall of the Tsarist Regime. Interrogations of Ministers, Advisors, Generals, Senior Civil Servants of the Imperial Russian Court by the Extraordinary Commission of the 1917 Provisional Government. Preface by Vassili Maklakoff]. Paris: Payot, 1927. 277 p.
15. Sokolov, N. *Enquête judiciaire sur l'assassinat de la famille impériale russe: avec les preuves, les interrogatoires et les dépositions des témoins et des accusés* [Inquest into the Assassination of the Imperial Russian Family: with Proofs, Interrogations and the Depositions of the Witnesses and the Accused]. Paris: Payot, 1924. 343 p.
16. Mochul'skiy, K.V. Novaya proza [A new prose], in *Kritika russkogo zarubezh'ya II* [Criticism of the Russian Emigration II]. Moscow: Olimp. Biblioteka russkoy kritiki, 2002, pp. 18–21.
17. Veydle, V.V. Traditsionnoe i novoe v russkoy literature dvadtsatogo veka [Old and new in Twentieth-Century Russian Literature], in *Russkaya literatura v emigratsii* [Russian literature in exile]. Pittsburgh, 1972, pp. 7–12.
18. Osargin, M.A. O «molodykh pisatelyakh» [About «young writers»], in *Kritika russkogo zarubezh'ya I* [Criticism of the Russian Emigration I]. Moscow: Olimp. Biblioteka russkoy kritiki, 2002, pp. 139–142.
19. Ivanov, G.V. Bez chitatelya [Without readers], in *Chisla* [Numbers]. Paris, 1931, no. 5, pp. 148–152.
20. Shvarts-Omonsikiy, N. Vnuki Leskova [Leskov's grandchildren], in *Vozrozhdenie* [Renaissance]. Paris, 1952, iyul'-avgust, no. 22, pp. 159–171.
21. Nabokov, V.V. Torzhestvo dobrodeteli [Triumph of Virtue], in *Sobranie sochineniy russkogo perioda v 5 t., t. 2* [Collected works of the Russian period in 5 vol., vol. 2]. Saint-Petersburg: Simpozium, 2001, pp. 683–688.
22. Chernyy, S. «Roza Ierikhona» [«A rose of Jericho»], in *Kritika russkogo zarubezh'ya I* [Criticism of the Russian Emigration I]. Moscow: Olimp. Biblioteka russkoy kritiki, 2002, pp. 173–178.
23. Chernyy, S. «Opyty» Bryusova [Brjusov's «experiences»], in *Kritika russkogo zarubezh'ya I* [Criticism of the Russian Emigration I]. Moscow: Olimp. Biblioteka russkoy kritiki, 2002, pp. 178–182.
24. Khodasevich, V.F. Literatura v izgnanii [Literature in exile], in *Kritika russkogo zarubezh'ya I* [Criticism of the Russian Emigration I]. Moscow: Olimp. Biblioteka russkoy kritiki, 2002, pp. 339–352.
25. Adamovich, G.V. Pamjati sovetskoy literature [In Memory of Soviet literature], in *Russkie zapiski* [Russian Annals]. Paris, 1937, no. 2, pp. 206–213.
26. Khodasevich, V.F. O sovetskoy literature [On the topic of Soviet literature], in Khodasevich, V.F. *Sobranie sochineniy v 4 t., t. 2* [Collected works in 4 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Soglasie, 1996, pp. 419–425.
27. Svyatopolk-Mirskiy, D.P. O nyneshnem sostoyanii russkoy literature [On the current state of Russian literature], in *Blagonamerenny* [The Person with good intentions]. Bryussel', 1926, yanvar'-fevral', no. 1, pp. 90–97.
28. Svyatopolk-Mirskiy, D.P. O sovremennom sostoyanii russkoy poezii [On the contemporary state of Russian literature], in *Novyy zhurnal*, 1978, no. 131, pp. 79–110.

**CHRISTIAN THINKER ABOUT THE REVOLUTION:
SOME ASPECTS OF F.A. STEPUN'S CONCEPT
OF THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION 1917**

L.A. GAMAN

Seversk Technological Institute – a branch of National Research Nuclear University of Moscow
Engineering Physical Institute (MEPhI)
65, Kommunistichesky Prospect, Seversk, Tomsk Region, 636036, Russian Federation
E-mail: GamanL@yandex.ru

The historical and religious views of Russian religious thinker F.A. Stepun (1884–1965) on the Russian revolution 1917 in connection with his Christian beliefs are discussed in this article. The necessity of taking into account the profundity and totality of thinker's Christian beliefs having substantially caused the semantic complexity of his concept of the revolution in Russia considered by him as the religious tragedy in the light of a historical process problem is emphasized. Stepun's works of the 1930–1960th are a source base of the article. The attention to Stepun's belongings to the tradition of religious symbolism is focused, at the same time insufficient study of his historical and religious formation about the Russian revolution 1917 despite researchers' interest in his creative heritage is noted. The methodological fundness of Stepun's concept being cross-disciplinary in character is especially considered. The originality of his research strategy is characterized, within which actually scientific research methods with categories of Christian history paradigm are combined. The objectivity of thinker's ideas about February and October revolutions as structural elements of the unified revolutionary process is emphasized, while substantive differences of «February» and «October» are explained. Stepun's ideas about the role and place of the Russian Orthodox church in the revolution are stated. The complexity of his position is described, i.e. on pages of his works the reasonable criticism of a low social influentialness and obsequiousness of Synodal church is combined with a great Orthodox Christianity assessment in the Russian history as the historical force resisted in the revolution. Finally, the conclusion about the scientific importance of Stepun's concept of the Russian revolution 1917 which studying promotes a profound research of this main event in the world history of the 20th century is drawn.

Key words: Christianity, Russian revolution 1917, religious symbolism, Orthodox church, natural rights, Bolshevism, fall, mentality.

References

1. Stepun F.A. *Pis'ma* [Letters]. Moscow: Rossiyskaya politicheskaya entsiklopediya (ROSSPEN), 2013. 683 p.
2. Pis'ma F.A. Stepuna k E.Yu. Rapp [Letters from F.A. Stepun to E.Yu. Rapp], in RGALI. F. 1496. Op. 1.Ed. hr. 982. L. 1.
3. Karpovich M. *Novyy zhurnal*, 1956, no. 46, pp. 220–237.
4. Vishnyak M.V. Pamyati F.A. Stepuna [In memory of F.A. Stepun], in Stepun F.A. *Pis'ma* [Letters]. Moscow: Rossiyskaya politicheskaya entsiklopediya (ROSSPEN), 2013, pp. 641–643.
5. Shtammller A. *Novyy zhurnal*, 1966, no. 82, pp. 246–256.
6. Shtammller A. F.A. Stepun, in *Sbornikstatey «Russkaya religiozno-filosofskaya mys' XX veka»* [Collection of articles «Russian religious and philosophical thought of the 20th century»]. Pittsburgh, 1975, pp. 322–332.
7. Zander L. O F.A. Stepuneinekotorykh ego knigakh [About F.A. Stepun and some of his books], in Stepun F.A. *Pis'ma* [Letters]. Moscow: Rossiyskaya politicheskaya entsiklopediya (ROSSPEN), 2013, pp. 611–634.
8. Ermichev A.F.A. Stepun. Ocherk zhizni [F.A. Stepun: a sketch of life], in Stepun F.A. *Portrety* [Portraits]. Saint-Petersburg, 1999, pp. 393–409.

9. Ermichev A.A. Fedor Avgustovich Stepun: khristianskoe videnie Rossii [Fedor Augustovich Stepun: Christian vision of Russia], in Ermichev A.A. *Imena i syuzhetы russkoy filosofii* [Names and plots of Russian philosophy]. Saint-Petersburg, 2014, pp. 405–425.
10. Kantor V.K. F.A. Stepun: russkiy filosof v epokhu bezumiya razuma [Russian philosopher in the era of madness of mind], in Stepun F.A. *Zhizn' i tvorchestvo. Izbrannye sochineniya* [Life and Art. Selected Works]. Moscow, 2009, pp. 3–39.
11. Kantor V. Kak izdayutsya shchedrevy. Russkiy variant memuarov Fedora Stepuna «Byvshee i nesbyvsheesya» [How to create masterpieces. Russian version of the memoirs by Fedor Stepun «What has been and might-have-been»], in Stepun F.A. *Pis'ma* [Letters]. Moscow: Rossiyskaya politicheskaya entsiklopediya (ROSSPEN), 2013, pp. 17–26.
12. Kiselev A.F. *S veroy v Rossiyu. Dukhovnye iskaniya Fedora Stepuna* [With faith in Russia. The spiritual quest of Fedor Stepun]. Moscow, 2011. 364 p.
13. Gaman, L.A. *Vestnik Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Seriya: Istorija. Istochnikovedenie*, 2005, vol. 289, pp. 6–13.
14. Gaman, L.A. *Vestnik Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Seriya: Istorija*, 2017, no. 46, pp. 28–38.
15. Stepun F.A. *Byvshee i nesbyvsheesya* [What has been and might-have-been]. Saint-Petersburg, 2000. 651 p.
16. Stepun F.A. *Chaemaya Rossiya* [Russia that we want]. Saint-Petersburg, 1999. 480 p.
17. Stepun F.A. *Vstrechi* [Meeting]. Moscow, 1998. 256 p.
18. Stepun F.A. *Misticheskoe mirovidenie. Pyat' obrazov russkogo simvolizma* [Mystical Worldview. Five images of Russian symbolism]. Saint-Petersburg, 2012. 479 p.
19. Stepun F.A. *Portrety* [Portraits]. Saint-Petersburg, 1999. 440 p.
20. Klemperer V. *LTI. Yazyk Tret'ego reykha. Zapisnaya knizhka filologa* [LTI. The language of the Third Reich: a Philologist's Notebook]. Moscow, 1998. 384 p.
21. Berdyaev N.A. Na poroge novoy epokhi: sbornik statey [On the threshold of a new era: a collection of articles], in Berdyaev N.A. *Istinai Otkrovenie. Prolegomeny k kritike Otkroveniya* [Truth and Revelation. Prolegomena to criticism of Revelation]. Saint-Petersburg, 1997, pp. 156–326.
22. Berdyaev N.A. *Istoki i smysl russkogo kommunizma* [The origins and meaning of Russian communism]. Moscow, 1990. 224 p.
23. Stepun F.A. *Novyy zhurnal*, 1962, book 69, pp. 251–277.

PHILOSOPHY AND LITERATURE

TERRESTRIAL EXISTENCE OF THE POET AND DIVINE ESSENCE OF POETRY

S.B. ROTSINSKY

Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration,
82, Vernadskiy Avenue, Moscow, 1195671, Russian Federation
E-mail: rotsinsky@bk.ru

Two approaches to analysis and assessment of the life and work of A.S. Pushkin's. One of them builds on the increased attention to the personal life of the poet, his human weakness, eccentricity of his actions. This approach is based the book by A. Madorsky's «The Satanic zigzags Pushkin», which in addition to the true facts contains a lot of speculation and arbitrary interpretation. The main attention is paid to the views of the representatives of the opposite approach, who are convinced that to assess the significance of Pushkin should not the details of his empirical life, and the strength and the height of his creative genius. The article analyzes the perspective on this question by V. S. Solovyov and other Russian religious philosophers justifying this position. Allocated and describes the characteristics of their argument, based on biographical data from the life of Pushkin, and the arguments of the philosophical and religious properties. Considerable attention is paid to the disclosure of the content of the concepts «genius», «inspiration», «divine gift». Explores interpretations of the phenomenon of poetry as a religious philosopher and the poet himself, whose views largely coincide. In his conclusion the author shares their point of view, which is as follows: the phenomenon of genius is not in body and soul, his existence, and the spiritual sense of his existence as the ability to be a conductor of ideas of the Higher Divine mind.

Key words: *Pushkin's life, Pushkin's poetry, genius, inspiration, soul, spirit, prophecy, beauty, weight, crowd, divine gift.*

References

1. Madorskiy, A. *Sataninskie zigzagi Pushkina* [Satanic zigzags Pushkin]. Moscow: Pomatur, 1998, 348 p.
2. Pushkin, A.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 10 t.* [Collected Works in 10 vol.]. Moscow: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1974–1978.
3. Ortega-i-Gasset, Kh. Vosstanie mass [Revolt of the Masses], in Ortega-i-Gasset, Kh. *Izbrannye trudy* [Selected works]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo «Ves' Mir», 1997, pp. 43–163.
4. Solov'ev, V.S. Znachenie poezii v stikhovoreniyah Pushkina [The value of poetry in the poems of Pushkin], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Filosofiya iskusstva i literaturnaya kritika* [The philosophy of art and literary criticism]. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1991, pp. 316–370.
5. Veresaev, V.V dvukh planakh (o tvorchestve Pushkina) [In the two plans (On the work of Pushkin)], in *Krasnaya Nov'*, 1929, no. 2, pp. 200–221.
6. Struve, P. Dukh i slovo Pushkina [Spirit and the word], in *Pushkin v russkoy filosofskoy kritike: konets XIX – pervaya polovina XX v.* [Pushkin in Russian philosophical criticism: end of XIX–XX centuries the first half]. Moscow: Kniga, 1990, pp. 317–328.
7. Solov'ev, V.S. Osoboe chestvovanie Pushkina [A special celebration of Pushkin], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Filosofiya iskusstva i literaturnaya kritika* [The philosophy of art and literary criticism]. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1991, pp. 300–310.

-
8. Solov'ev, V.S. Sud'ba Pushkina [The fate of Pushkin], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Filosofiya iskusstva i literaturnaya kritika* [The philosophy of art and literary criticism]. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1991, pp. 271–299.
9. Il'in, I. Prorocheskoe prizvanie Pushkina [The prophetic vocation Pushkin], in *Pushkin v russkoy filosofskoy kritike: konets XIX – pervaya polovina XX v.* [Pushkin in Russian philosophical criticism: end of XIX–XX centuries the first half]. Moscow: Kniga, 1990, pp. 328–356.
10. Frank, S. Religioznost' Pushkina [Religiosity Pushkin], in *Pushkin v russkoy filosofskoy kritike: konets XIX – pervaya polovina XX v.* [Pushkin in Russian philosophical criticism: end of XIX–XX centuries the first half]. Moscow: Kniga, 1990, pp. 380–396.
11. Bulgakov, S. Zhrebyi Pushkina [The die Pushkin], in *Pushkin v russkoy filosofskoy kritike: konets XIX – pervaya polovina XX v.* [Pushkin in Russian philosophical criticism: end of XIX–XX centuries the first half]. Moscow: Kniga, 1990, pp. 27–294.

ANDREI PLATONOV'S «SUBSTANCE OF LIFE» AND THE CATEGORY «QI» IN THE CHINESE PHILOSOPHICAL TRADITION

K.A. BARSHT

The Institute of Russian Literature(The Pushkin House)Russian Academy of Sciences
4, Makarova emb., Saint-Petersburg, 199034, Russian Federation
E-mail: konstantin_barsht@pushdom.ru

In the article there is an analyzes of the similarities between «qi» as a traditional category of Chinese philosophical culture, and the concept of «substance of existence» («substances of life») governing the subjects of Andrei Platonov's works, and the worldview of his characters-philosophers. The theme has two aspects, the first one is associated with the presence of similarity between the paradigm of «qi» and the structure of the world, depicted by the writer in his novels, the second – with the discovery of the texts describing the parameters of Chinese philosophy in the possible writer's circle of readings. The author suggests that Platonov was reading the book by Lao Tzu «Tao te Ching, or writing about morality», published in Russian translation under the editorship of L. N. Tolstoy at 1913, as well as the monograph by S. Georgievsky «The Mythical beliefs and myths of the Chinese» (St. Petersburg, 1892). The article is discusses the idea developed in the works of Platonov's that a life is the motion form of «confidential substances», so the human body is an energy accumulator, which is able to take and pass it in contact with other bodies. It is assumed that this phenomenon is denoted in the works of Platonov with the sign «warmth»; according to traditional Chinese philosophy, Qi behaves in a similar way in the form of «heat», which also is not a simple heating of the body or an object to a certain temperature, but a specific energy state. There is speculation that Platonov's characters are developing the thesis on the return of a dead body to life and driving along the time arrow in the opposite direction («Pit», «Chevengur»), which is consistent with the concept of a «returning to life» in Chinese mythology. In the novel «Chevengur» there was an attempt to recreate the life a deceased boy within the logic and using techniques reminiscent of Chinese rites revive the dead, described in the book by S. Georgievsky; it that both events the body of the deceased is treated as part of the ever-living world matter, transformed to a new state, which is fundamentally reversible.

Key words: «substance of existence» by A.P. Platonov, world energy, sleeping and death, the heat, the philosophical category of «qi», aether, managing the laws of nature, Taoism, Confucianism.

References

1. Kobzev, A.I. Kategorii i osnovnye ponyatiya kitayskoy filosofii i kul'tury [перевод]. Dukhovnaya kul'tura Kitaya. Entsiklopediya v 5 t. T. 1. Filosofiya [перевод]. Moscow, 2006, pp. 66–81.
2. Aristotel'. O dushe [перевод], in Aristotel'. Sochineniya v 4 t., t. 1. Ser. Filosofskoe nasledie [перевод]. Moscow, 1976, pp. 369–450.
3. Fen, Yu-lan' Kratkaya istoriya kitayskoy filosofii [перевод]. Saint-Petersburg, 1998. 375 p.
4. Florenskiy, P.A. Analiz prostranstvennosti i vremeni v khudozhestvenno-izobrazitel'nykh proizvedeniyakh [перевод]. Moscow, 1993. 324 p.
5. Kobzev, A.I. Filosofiya kitayskogo neokonfutsianstva [перевод]. Moscow, 2002. 608 p.
6. Bu, Tszin'-chzhi. Obzor drevnekitayskikh naivno-materialisticheskikh ucheniy o tsyi [перевод], in Chzhungo chzhesyue shi yan'tszyu tszik'an' (Sbornik issledovaniy po istorii kitayskoy filosofii). Vyp. 2[перевод]. Shanhay, 1982, pp. 108–135.
7. Ge, Zhun-tszin'. Chzhungo chzhesyue fan'chou shi (Istoriya kategoriy kitayskoy filosofii) [перевод]. Kharbin, 1987. 289 p.
8. Barsht, K.A. Poetika prozy Andreya Platonova [перевод]. Saint-Petersburg, 2005. 480 p.
9. Georgievskiy, S. Mificheskie vozzreniya i mify kitaytsev [перевод]. Saint-Petersburg, 1892. 117 p.
10. Platonov, A.P. Kotlovyan [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 3. Chevengur. Kotlovyan [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 411–534.
11. Platonov, A.P. Efirnyy trakt [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 2. Efirnyy trakt. Povesti 1920-kh – nachala 1930-kh gg. [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 8–94.
12. Platonov, A. Elektrifikatsiya [перевод], in Platonov, A. Sochineniya. T. 1. 1918–1927. Kn. 2. Stat'i [перевод]. Moscow, 2004, pp. 133–142.
13. Platonov, A.P. Chevengur [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 3. Chevengur. Kotlovyan [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 9–410.
14. Platonov, A.P. Schastlivaya Moskva [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 4 [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 9–110.
15. Platonov, A.P. Rodina elektrichestva [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 4. Schastlivaya Moskva[перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 525–540.
16. Platonov, A.P. Musornyy veter [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 4. Schastlivaya Moskva [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 271–288.
17. Platonov, A.P. Dzhan [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 4. Schastlivaya Moskva [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 111–236.
18. Platonov, A.P. [Zapisi 1931–1932 gg.] [перевод], in Zapisnye knizhki. Materialy k biografii [перевод]. Moscow, 2000, pp. 94–117.
19. Platonov, A.P. Sed'moy chelovek [перевод], in Platonov, A. Sobranie sochineniy. v 3 t. T. 3 [перевод]. Moscow, 1985, pp. 49–58.
20. Fragmenty chernovogo avtografa povesti «Kotlovyan» [перевод], in Tvorchestvo Andreya Platonova [перевод]. Saint-Petersburg, 1995, pp. 91–111.
21. Grube, V. Dukhovnaya kul'tura Kitaya. Literatura, religiya, kul't [перевод]. Saint-Petersburg, 1912. 238 p.
22. Platonov, A.P. Sokrovennyy chelovek [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 2. Efirnyy trakt. Povesti 1920-kh – nachala 1930-kh gg. [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 161–235.
23. Platonov, A.P. Epifanskie shlyuzy [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 2. Efirnyy trakt. Povesti 1920-kh – nachala 1930-kh gg. [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 95–128.
24. Platonov, A.P. Yuvenil'noe more [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 2. Efirnyy trakt. Povesti 1920-kh – nachala 1930-kh gg. [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 351–432.
25. Lao, Si. Tao-te-king, ili Pisanie o nравственности [перевод]. Moscow, 1913. 72 p.
26. Platonov, A.P. Usomnivshiiysya Makar [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 1. Usomnivshiiysya Makar. Rasskazy 1920-kh godov. Stikhovoreniya [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 216–234.
27. Platonov, A.P. Gorod Gradov [перевод], in Platonov, A.P. Sobranie sochineniy. T. 2. Efirnyy trakt. Povesti 1920-kh – nachala 1930-kh gg. [перевод]. Moscow, 2011, pp. 128–160.

MONOGRAFP IN THE JOURNAL

UNBIASED CHRISTIANITY AND ITS SOURCES.

EVLAMPIEV I.I.

On «Solovyov Studies» journal

«Solovyov Studies» journal is a scientific publication, devoted to the urgent issues of the Humanities like Philosophy, Philology, and Cultural Studies. Results of the Russian and Foreign research are published in the journal.

The journal has been published since 2001, the foremost authorities from the Philosophy and Science Centers of Russia, Germany, France, the UK, Poland, and Bulgaria are the members of the editorial staff of it.

The journal frequency is 4 issues a year; in March, June, September, December.

You can find the information about the journal on <http://www.ispu.ru/node/8026>

The full electronic version of all the issues since 2001 is on <http://www.ispu.ru/node/6623>

«Solovyov Studies» journal is in the list of the leading reviewed scientific journals and issues published, approved by the State Commission for Academic Degrees and Titles of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation. The main research results of theses for Candidate Degree and Doctor Degree are published.

You can subscribe to the quarterly «Solovyov Studies» journal in any post office in Russia.

The subscription conditions are in «Rospechat Catalogue» (section «Journals of Russia»).

The subscription zip in «Rospechat Catalogue» is 37240.

The Editorial Office Address

34, Rabfakovskaya st., Ivanovo, 153003, Ivanovo State Power Engineering University, Russian Research Educational Centre of Solovyev's Heritage

(The Solovyev Seminar)

Phone: (4932) 26-97-70, (4932) 26-98-57

E-mail: maximov@philosophy.ispu.ru

koroleva@ispu.ru

The Solovyev Seminar Site: <http://solovyov-seminar.ispu.ru>

You can find the information about the current activities of the Solovyev Seminar on <http://www.ispu.ru/taxonomy/term/1071>

Chief Editor,

Mikhail V. Maksimov

Dr. Philosophy, Professor

Phone: (4932) 26-97-70

fax: (4932) 38-57-01, 26-97-96

E-mail: maximov@philosophy.ispu.ru, mvmaximov@yandex.ru