

IVANOVO STATE POWER UNIVERSITY

**SOLOV'EVSKIE ISSLEDOVANIYA
SOLOVYOV STUDIES**

Issue 3(63) 2019

Editorial Board:

M.V. Maksimov (Chief Editor), Doctor of Philosophy, Ivanovo, Russia

A.P. Kozyrev (Chief Editor Assistant), Candidate of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

E.M. Amelina, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

I.I. Evlampiev, Doctor of Philosophy, St. Petersburg, Russia,

I.A. Edoshina, Doctor of Cultural Studies, Kostroma, Russia

K.L. Erofeeva, Doctor of Philosophy, Ivanovo, Russia,

N.V. Kotrelev, Senior Researcher, Moscow, Russia,

L.M. Maksimova (responsible secretary), Candidate of Philosophy, Ivanovo, Russia,

B.V. Mezhuev, Candidate of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

V.I. Moiseev, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

S.B. Rotsinskiy, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

V.V. Serbinenko, Doctor of Philosophy, Moscow, Russia,

E.A. Takho-Godi, Doctor of Philology, St. Petersburg, Russia,

S.D. Titarenko, Doctor of Philology, St. Petersburg, Russia,

D.L. Shukurov, Doctor of Philology, Ivanovo, Russia

International Editorial Board:

G.E. Aliaiev, Doctor of Philosophy, Poltava, Ukraine,

R. Goldt, Doctor of Philology, Mainz, Germany,

N.I. Dimitrova, Doctor of Philosophy, Sofia, Bulgaria,

Davidson P., Doctor of Philosophy, London, United Kingdom

E. van der Zweerde, Doctor of Philosophy, Nijmegen, Netherlands,

Ya. Krasicki, Doctor of Philosophy, Wroclaw, Poland,

B. Marchadier, Slavonic studies doctor, Paris, France,

Nemeth T., Doctor of Philosophy, New York, United States of America

Address:

Interregional Research and Educational Center for Heritage Studies VS. Solovyov –
Solovyov Workshop

Ivanovo State Power Engineering University

34, Rabfakovskaya st., Ivanovo, Russian Federation, 153003

Tel. (4932), 26-97-70, 26 97-75; Fax (4932) 26-97-96

E-mail: maximov@philosophy.ispu.ru

<http://solovyov-studies.ispu.ru>

The Journal is included in the List of Leading Reviewed Scientific Journals and Publications, which are approved by the State Commission for Academic Degrees and Titles of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation for publishing the main scientific results of the dissertations on the candidate and doctoral degrees for the following groups of specialities: 09.00.00 – Philosophical Sciences; 10.01.00 – Literature Studies; 10.02.00 – Linguistics; 24.00.00 – Cultural Studies.

Information about published articles is sent to the Russian Science Citation Index by agreement with «Scientific Electronic Library» Ltd. No. № 580-12/2012 LO of 13.12.2012.

The journal is registered in the foreign database Ulrich's Periodicals Directory.

CONTENT

V.S. SOLOVYOV'S HERITAGE: STUDIES AND PUBLICATIONS

Vladimir Soloviev. Extracts and Schemes related to Esoteric Topics from the Folder «God is All. Draft Exposition of the Article on Occultism» (1870s–1880s). Part one / <i>Prepared for publication and commented by A.L. Rychkov and K.Yu. Burmistrov</i>	6
Mezhuev B.V. Moving right: Vladimir Soloviev and his «The Meaning Of War»	32

V.S. SOLOVYOV AND HIS HERITAGE IN MODERN WORLD

Proceedings of the International scientific conference, Ivanovo, ISPEU, November 15–15, 2018

Moiseev V.I. Vladimir Solovyov's philosophy of all-unity as a new theory of anifold.....	59
Astapov S.N. The concept of history of religion in V.S. Solovyov's "Lectures on Godmanhood"	68
Kozyrkov V.P. Vladimir Solovyov's ideas about the development of alternative sociology in the context of russian spiritual culture.....	79
Tarasov B.N. «The mystery of man» and the historical process in historiosophic thoughts of russian philosophers and writers (V.S. Solovyov, F.M. Dostoevsky, F.I. Tyutchev)	93
Blinova O.A. «The arithmetics of love» <i>versus</i> «The meaning of love»: the impossible alloy and inseperability of Zinaida Gippius' and Vladimir Solovyov's thoughts	106

PHILOLOGY AND PHILOSOPHY

Shukurov D.L. Interpretation of the biblical divinely revealed name in the writings of the bishop Ioann (Sokolov) and V.S. Solovyyov as evaluated by the Archbishop Theofan (Bystrov)	121
Ivanov A.V., Zhuravleva S.M. Thought and its dialectics in Tyutchev's poetry	138
Matveeva I.Yu., Evlampiev I.I. Religious doctrine of L. Tolstoy and L. Karsavin's concept of a personality	150
Filatov A.V. Axiology of space and time in N.S. Gumilev's adamic myth.....	162
Zenova E.M. Categories of «the temporary» and «the eternal» in I.B. Rodnyanskaya's literary criticism.....	172

CRITICISM AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ermichev A.A. Experience in studying russian émigré philosophy. [Review of] Ermishin O.T. Russian émigré philosophy of the XX century. M.: Letny Sad: Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn House of Russia Abroad, 2019, 304 p.	185
--	-----

CONGRATULATION

Congratulations to Mikhail Viktorovich Maximov	190
OUR AUTHORS.....	191
ON «SOLOVYOV STUDIES» JOURNAL	195
ON SUBSCRIPTION TO «SOLOVYOV STUDIES» JOURNAL	197
INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS	197

V.S. SOLOVYOV'S HERITAGE: STUDIES AND PUBLICATIONS**EXTRACTS AND SCHEMES RELATED TO ESOTERIC TOPICS FROM
THE FOLDER “GOD IS ALL. DRAFT EXPOSITION OF THE ARTICLE ON
OKKULTISM” (1870s–1880s)***VLADIMIR SOLOVIEV***Part one***Prepared for publication and commented by
A.L. Rychkov and K.Yu. Burmistrov*

MOVING RIGHT: VLADIMIR SOLOVIEV AND HIS «THE MEANING OF WAR»

B.V. MEZHUEV

Lomonosov Moscow State University

27/4, Lomonosovsky pr., Department of Philosophy,
Moscow, GSP-1, 119991, Russian Federation
E-mail: borismezhuev@yandex.ru

This article considers Vl. Solovyov's motives to write his work «The Meaning of War», in 1895 and include it in the corpus of his treatise on ethical philosophy. Special attention is paid to the philosopher's relationships with the editor-in-chief of the journal "Neva" Aleksei Lugovoi who published this work. The article presents a detailed analysis of the responses to the work in the Russian press and explores how the content of this work was connected to the problems of the Russian foreign policy of that time. A conclusion is made that, among all the other chapters of the treatise on ethical philosophy that were published by Vl. Solovyov in different journals during 1895, the article «The Meaning of War» provoked the most extreme and contradictory reaction in the Russian intellectual milieu. We pay attention to the fact that Vl. Solovyov received the warmest approval of the right circles, was strongly rejected by the left and, at the same time, deeply embarrassed the liberals. The philosopher gradually turned from a recent favorite of the progressive intelligentsia into a lonely thinker alienated from all the circles of social thought in the last three years of his life. The article tells about the beginning of this transformation.

Key words: war, militarism, pacifism, panmongolism, world monarchy, pessimism, social philosophy

References

1. Solov'ev, V.S. Printsip nakazaniya s nравственnoy tochki zreniya [The principle of punishment in moral terms], in *Vestnik Evropy*, 1895, no. 3. P. 212–235.
2. Solov'ev, V.S. *Pis'ma v 4 t.* [Letters in 4 v.]. Saint-Petersburg, 1908–1923.
3. Lugovoy, A. *Sochineniya. T. III. Stikhotvoreniya, povesti i rasskazy* [Works. V. III. Poems, novelettes and short stories]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipografiya M.M. Stasyulevicha, 1895.
4. Il'inskiy, L.K. «Perepiska V.S. Solov'eva s A.A. Lugovym» – spiski pisem i telegramm V.S. Solov'eva k A.A. Lugovomu za 1890-e gg., variant vstupitel'noy zametki k pis'mam [«V.S. Solovyov's correspondence with A.A. Lugovoi» – lists of V.S. Solovyov's letters and telegrams to A.A. Lugovoi of the 1890s, a variant of the introductory note to the letters], in *NIOR RGB*. F. 700 (S.M. Luk'yanov). K. 3. Ed. khr. 4. L. 1–2.
5. Lugovoy, A. *Pis'ma G.G. Yogansonu* [Letters to G.G. Ioganson], in *RGALI*. F. 1102. Op. 2. Ed. khr. 1.
6. W <Vl. Solov'ev>. <Rets. na:> Sochineniya A. Lugovogo. Tri toma. SPb., 1895. – Khronika. – Literaturnoe obozrenie [In <Vl. Solovyov>. <A review of> A. Lugovoi's works. Three volumes. SPb., 1895. – Chronicle. – Literary review], in *Vestnik Evropy*, 1896, no. 12, pp. 835–839
7. Nikiforov, L.P. Iz vospominaniy o Vladimire Sergeeviche Solov'eve [Remembering Vladimir Sergeyevich Solovyov], in *Vestnik Evropy*, 1913, no. 11, p. 140–144.
8. Solov'ev, V.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochinenyi v 12 t.* [Complete works in 12 v.]. Bryussel': «Zhizn' s Bogom», 1969.
9. Lukyanov, I.V. «Ne otstat' ot derzhav...». *Rossiya na Dal'nem Vostoke v kontse XIX – nachale XX vv.* [«Not to fall behind the powerful». Russia in the Far East in the late XIX – early XX centuries]. Saint-Petersburg: Nestor-istoriya, 2008, 664 p.
10. Solov'ev, Vl.S. Spor o spravedlivosti [Dispute about justice], in *Vestnik Evropy*, 1894, no. 4, pp. 785–797.

11. Florovskiy, G.V. Vladimir Solov'ev i Dante: zadacha khristianskoy imperii (1956) [Vladimir Solovyov and Dante: the problem of a Christian empire (1956)], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2006, issue 13, pp. 228–256.
12. Pribytkova, E.A. Khristianstvo i Imperiya: Foma Akvinskiy, Dante, Vl. Solov'ev [Christianity and Europe: St. Thomas Aquinas, Dante, Vl. Solovyov], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2009, issue 21, p. 4–23.
13. Kuz'min-Karavaev, V.D. Iz vospominaniy o Vladimire Sergeeviche Solov'eve [Remembering Vladimir Sergeyevich Solovyov], in *Vestnik Evropy*, 1900, no. 11, pp. 443–453.
14. Kuz'min-Karavaev, V.D. Vladimir Solov'ev i «opravdanie» voyny. <Voprosy vnutrenney zhizni> [Vladimir Solovyov and “justification” of war <Problems of life in Russia>], in *Vestnik Evropy*, 1914, no. 10, pp. 353–355.
15. Sigma (Syromyatnikov, S.N.) O voyne [On war], in *Novoe vremya*, 16-go (28-go) iyulya 1895 g., no. 6960.
16. Nasha pechat' [Our press], in *Grazhdanin*, 1895, 28-go iyulya, no. 205, p. 2.
17. Nikolaev, Yu. (Govorukha-Otrok Yu.N.) Chto takoe voyna? Vlad. Solov'ev «Smysl voynы». «Ezhemesyachnye literaturnye prilozheniya k Nive», № 7 [What is war? Vlad. Solovyov «The meaning of war». «Monthly literary supplement to Nieve», No. 7], in *Moskovskie vedomosti*, 1895, 14 (26) sent., no. 260. (Lit. Zametki), p.3.
18. Smysl voynы [The meaning of war], in *Odesskie novosti*, 1895, 29-go iyulya, no. 3362, p.2.
19. Volynskiy, A. <Kritika i bibliografiya – Smysl voynы. Iz nравstvennoy filosofii Vlad.S. Solov'eva> [Criticism and bibliography – The meaning of war. From Vlad. Solovyov's moral philosophy], in *Severnyy vestnik*, 1895, no. 9, II pag., pp. 62–63.
20. Tolstoy, L.N. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy. T. 68* [Complete works. V. 68]. Moscow: Gosudarstvennoe izdatel'stvo khudozhestvennoy literatury, 1954.
21. Kupriyanovskiy, P.V. L.N. Tolstoy i N.S. Leskov v zhurnale «Severnyy vestnik» [L.N. Tolstoy and N.S. Leskov in the journal “Severny Vestnik”], in Kupriyanovskiy, P.V. *Doverie k zhizni* [Trust in life]. Yaroslavl': Verkhne-Volzhskoe knizhnoe izdatel'stvo, 1981, pp. 40–81.
22. Ardashev, P. Filosofiya voynы [Philosophy of war], in *Russkoe bogatstvo*, 1895, no. 10, II pag., pp. 12–21.
23. Chernyy <Shestov, L.>. Vopros sovesti [<Shestov, L.>. Problems of Conscience], in *Zhizn' i iskusstvo*, 5-oe dekabrya 1895 g., no. 336, p. 2.
24. Nikolay Yakovlevich Grot v ocherkakh, vospominaniyah i pis'makh tovarishchey, uchenikov, druzey i pochitatelyey [Nikolai Yakovlevich Grot in essays, memoirs and letters of his friends, pupils and admirers]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipografiya Ministerstva Putey Soobshcheniya, 1911. 444 p.
25. Grot, N.Ya. <Retsenziya na knigu Vl. S. Solov'eva «Opravdanie dobra»> [A review of Vl.S. Solovyov's book “Justification of the good”], in *VFP*, yanvar'-fevral', 1897, kn. 36 (1), II pag., pp.155–160.

V.S. SOLOVYOV AND HIS HERITAGE IN MODERN WORLD

Proceedings of the International scientific conference,

Ivanovo, ISPEU, November 15-15, 2018

VLADIMIR SOLOVYOV'S PHILOSOPHY OF ALL-UNITY AS A NEW THEORY OF MANIFOLD

V. MOISEEV

A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry,

23, Borisa Zhigulyonkova Str, Moscow, 105275, Russian Federation

E-mail: vimo@list.ru

The article gives a new perspective on Vladimir Solovyov's philosophy as a theory of manifold. A.A. Bogdanov's term "tectology" is used to denote such a teaching. Solovyov's philosophy is interpreted as a certain version of tectology, in which all-unity is the highest state of manifold. Along with "all-unity", the term "many-unity" is used as an expression of any state of manifold. The term "all-unity" expresses the maximum synthesis on the maximum number of elements, whereas the term "many-unity" denotes any state of differentiated integrity. Two layers are identified in Solovyov's philosophy: purely tectological and interpretational ones. The article emphasizes the primacy of tectological constructions in V. S. Solovyov's philosophy, although the philosopher himself did not always sustain the primacy of the tectological layer of being in his constructions. It also states the primacy of tectology in relation to teleological and theological concepts in the Russian philosophy of all-unity, which is expressed by the fact that the highest goal of being and the highest principle of being (the Absolute) are considered as an all-unity, i.e. the highest tectological (organizational) state of the manifold of the principles of being. On this basis, proposals of independent development of tectological constructions of Solovyov's philosophy are put forward, as well as their possible reinterpretations on the material of culture. In many ways, these goals have been set and successfully achieved in the new directions of modern philosophy, such as the "logic of all-unity" and "philosophy of neo-all-unity" that we have been developing.

Key words: manifold, all-unity, many-unity, tectology, Solovyov's philosophy of all-unity, Russian philosophy of all-unity, the Absolute, theory of manifold, logic of all-unity, philosophy of neo-all-unity.

References

1. Moiseev, V.I. *Logika vseedinstva* [Logic of all-unity]. Moscow: PER SE, 2002. 415 p.
2. Moiseev, V.I. *Logika dobra*. [Logic of the good]. Moscow: Editorial URSS, 2004. 400 p.
3. Moiseev, V.I. *Logika otkrytogo sinteza v 2 t., t. 1. Struktura. Priroda. Dusha* [Logic of open synthesis in 2 vol., vol. 1. Structure. Nature. Soul]. Saint-Petersburg: ID «Mir», 2010. Book 1–2. 744 p.
4. Berdyaev, N.A. Vladimir Solov'ev i my [Vladimir Solovyov and we], in *Sovriemiennye zapiski*, 1937, book 63, p. 370.
5. Levin, Yu.I. Invariante filosofskogo teksta: VI. Solov'ev [Invariants of philosophical text: VI. Solovyov], in *Izbrannye trudy. Poetika. Semiotika* [Selected works. Poetics. Semiotics]. Moscow, 1998, pp. 676–742.
6. Levin, Yu.I. Strukturnyy invariant filosofii VI. Solov'eva [A structural invariant of VI. Solovyov's philosophy], in *Logicheskiy analiz yazyka. Kul'turnye kontsepty* [Logical analysis of language. Cultural concepts]. Moscow, 1991, pp. 102–109.
7. Solov'ev, V.S. Chteniya o Bogochelovechestve [Readings about Godmanhood], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy. T. 3* [Collected works. Vol. 3]. Saint-Petersburg, 1911–1914. P. 3–181.

8. Moiseev, V.I. *Ocherki po filosofii neovseedinstva: Opyt matematicheskogo prochteniya filosofii. Aksiologiya. Logika. Fenomenologiya* [Essays on the philosophy of neo-all-unity: The experience of mathematical interpretation of philosophy. Axiology. Logic. Phenomenology]. Moscow: LENAND, 2018. 632 p.
9. Moiseev, V.I. *Chelovek i obshchestvo: obrazy sinteza v 2 t., t. 1* [Man and Society: Images of synthesis in 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: ID «Navigator», 2012. 711 p.

THE CONCEPT OF HISTORY OF RELIGION IN V.S. SOLOVYOV'S "LECTURES ON GODMANHOOD"

S.N. ASTAPOV

Southern Federal University

105/42, Bolshaya Sadovaya Str., Rostov-on-Don, 344006, Russian Federation
E-mail: snastapov@sfedu.ru

The historical (evolutionary) typology of religions contained in V.S. Solovyov's «Lectures on godmanhood» is studied in the context of formation of the «science of religion» in the second half of the 19th century. It is noted that in contrast to the history of religion as a “science of religion” keeping itself at a distance from the metaphysic discourse, V.S. Solovyov's history of religion is a part of his philosophy of religion. The article also states the proximity between Solovyov's and Hegel's typologies of religions, especially, in the use of dialectic methodology in their history of religions. This proximity is explained by the influence of Hegel's philosophy on V.S. Solovyov during the considered period of his life. However, the article identifies specific features of Solovyov's history of religion: different names of the stages of religion evolution and different bases of the typology. The basis of Solovyov's concept is found in his eschatological historiosophy that considers the history of society as evolution of forms of relationships between people and God: from natural cults towards a common «universal religion». The basis of the typology is the purpose which determines the evolution of religious consciousness forms – establishing a connection between God and mankind that once broke away from God. It is noted that it is Solovyov's eschatological teleologism that shapes his views on the history of religions: the Russian thinker builds his original historiosophical concept on the basis of contemporary scientific materials about religions. It is concluded that Solovyov's idea of «the universal religion» as reinstatement of the connection between the whole humankind and the Divine origin reproduces Origen's doctrine of apocatastasis which was denied by Christian theology but has persisted in the Russian religious thought until present days.

Key words: dialectics, history of religion, science of religion, religious consciousness, philosophy of religion, evolutionary typology of religions, eschatological historiosophy

References

1. Antonov, K.M. Filosofiya religii V.S. Solov'eva [V.S. Solovyov's philosophy of religion], in *Filosofskie nauki*, 2007, no. 11, pp. 32–50.
2. Arinin, E.I. Substantsional'nost' religii v traktovke Vladimira Solov'eva: mezhdu Gegelem i Polem Rikerom [Religion substantiality in Vladimir Solovyov's interpretation: between Hegel and Paul Ricoeur], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2003, issue 7, pp. 134–148.
3. Klimkov, O.S. Filosofskoe osmyslenie religii v trudakh VI. Solov'eva [Philosophical comprehension of religion in V. Solovyov's works], in *Litera*, 2017, no. 4, pp. 94–102. URL: http://enotabene.ru/fil/article_24817.html.
4. Tsivelev, A.A. Islamskiy Vostok v religioznykh vzglyadakh V.S. Solov'eva [Islamic Orient in V.S. Solovyov's religious views], in *Sotsiosfera*, 2011, no. 1, pp. 79–83. URL: http://psyjournals.ru/sociosphere/2011/n1/41619_full.shtml
5. Sheff, G.A. Predmetnaya oblast' filosofii religii v rabotakh V.S. Solov'eva [The subject-matter of philosophy of religion in V.S. Solovyov's works], in *Gumanitarnye i sotsial'nye nauki*, 2011, no. 6, pp. 134–143. URL: http://hses-online.ru/2011/06/09_00_14.pdf.
6. Gegel', G. Lektsii po filosofii religii [Lectures on philosophy of religion], in Gegel', G. *Filosofiya religii v 2 t.* [Philosophy of religion in 2 vol.]. Moscow: Mysl', 1976, vol. 1, pp. 417–530; 1977, vol. 2, pp. 3–333.

7. Solov'ev, V.S. Chteniya o bogochelovechestve [Lectures on godmanhood], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy i pisem v 20 t., t. 4* [Complete works in 20 vol., vol. 4]. Moscow: Nauka, 2011, pp. 9–168.
8. Khrisanf, arkhim. *Religii drevnego mira v ikh otnoshenii k khristianstvu: Istoricheskoe issledovanie v 3 t., t. 1* [Religions of the ancient world: A historical study in 3 vol., vol. 1]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipografiya duchkovnogo zhurnala «Strannik», 1873. 640 p.
9. Mezhuyev, B.V. Primechaniya: «Mifologicheskiy protsess v drevнем yazychestve» [Comments: «The mythological process in ancient paganism】], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy i pisem v 20 t., t. 1* [Complete works in 20 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Nauka, 2000, pp. 255–264.
10. Nosov, A.A., Borisova, I.V. Chteniya o bogochelovechestve (Preambula k primechaniyam) [Lectures on godmanhood (A preamble to the comments)], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy i pisem v 20 t., t. 4* [Complete works in 20 vol., vol. 4]. Moscow: Nauka, 2011, pp. 469–540.
11. Gacheva, A.G. Apokatastasis v russkoy religiozno-filosofskoy myсли posledney treti XIX – pervoy treti XX v. Stat'ya pervaya. F.M. Dostoevskiy, N.F. Fedorov, V.S. Solov'ev [Apocatastasis in the Russian philosophical concepts of the 1870s–1930s. The first article. Dostoyevsky, Fedorov, Solovyov], in *Vestnik RGGU. Seriya «Filosofiya. Sotsiologiya. Iskusstvovedenie»* [RSUH/RGGU bulletin. «Philosophy. Social studies. Art studies» series], 2018, no. 1(11), pp. 24–37.
12. Gacheva, A.G. Apokatastasis v russkoy religiozno-filosofskoy myсли posledney treti XIX – pervoy treti XX v. Stat'ya vtoraya. Ot nachala veka k russkoy emigratsii pervoy volny [Apocatastasis in the Russian philosophical concepts of the 1870s–1930s. The second article. From the beginning of the 20th century to the first wave of Russian emigration], in *Vestnik RGGU. Seriya «Filosofiya. Sotsiologiya. Iskusstvovedenie»* [RSUH/RGGU bulletin. «Philosophy. Social studies. Art studies» series], 2018, no. 2(12), pp. 146–159.
13. Gacheva, A.G. Apokatastasis v russkoy religiozno-filosofskoy myсли posledney treti XIX – pervoy treti XX v. Stat'ya tret'ya. Apologety tselostnogo ideal'a: tema vseobshhnosti spaseviya v tvorchestve mysliteley Sovetskoy Rossii: A.L.Gorskogo, N.A. Setnitskogo, V.N. Murav'eva, D.L. Andreeva [Apocatastasis in the Russian philosophical concepts of the 1870s–1930s. The third article. Apologists for the holistic ideal. The idea of universal salvation in the works of the Soviet philosophers A.K. Gorsky, N.A. Setnitsky, V.N. Muravyov, D.L. Andreyev], in *Vestnik RGGU. Seriya «Filosofiya. Sotsiologiya. Iskusstvovedenie»* [RSUH/RGGU bulletin. «Philosophy. Social studies. Art studies» series], 2018, no. 3(13), pp. 19–31.

VLADIMIR SOLOVYOV'S IDEAS ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVE SOCIOLOGY IN THE CONTEXT OF RUSSIAN SPIRITUAL CULTURE

V.P. KOZYRKOV

Lobachevsky State University of Nizhni Novgorod,
23, Gagarin Avenue, Nizhny Novgorod, 600000, Russian Federation
E-mail: kozir3@yandex.ru

The article considers the development of Russian sociology and its philosophical and sociocultural prerequisites. It also presents a critical analysis of the existing approach to this problem. The article states the distinguishing features of Russian philosophy that consist in solving practical social problems reflecting the crisis of society. By studying Vl. Solovyov's philosophical works as an example, the author analyses the evolution of Russian sociology in its connection with the nature of spiritual culture of the second half of the XIX century and the early XX century. It is shown that the observed loans in Russian philosophy do not reflect its essence. The author proves the idea that the sense and place of a certain philosophical direction are determined by the nature of the society's spiritual culture in which they were formed. It is also shown that Russian followers of Western philosophical directions solved other social and world outlook problems than their Western colleagues. The article determines new ideas of Russian philosophy, which may be the basis for alternative forms of sociology allowing it to overcome the crisis. It is shown that Vl. Solovyov's philosophical ideas have sociological grounds in the analysis of responsibility, conscience and patriotism. As a result, a conclusion is drawn that alternative sociology can become a rational form of public consciousness encompassing all spheres of social life helping sociology to get out of the crisis.

Keywords: philosophy Vl. Solov'ev, Russian philosophy, Russian sociology, sociology crisis, spiritual culture, cosmism, patriotism, responsibility, conscience, public self-consciousness

References

1. Orlova, E.A. Zachech nuzhna sotsiologiya kul'tury [Why do you need a sociology of culture], in *Kul'tura i iskusstvo*, 2016, no. 2(32), pp. 172–189. doi: 10.7256/2222-1956.2016.2.17876
2. Kareev, N.I. *Osnovy russkoy sotsiologii* [Basics of Russian sociology]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdatel'stvo Ivana Limbaka, 1996. 368 p.
3. Ivanov-Razumnik R.V. *Istoriya russkoy obshchestvennoy mysli. Individualizm i meshchanstvo v russkoy literature i zhizni XIX v. T. 1* [History of Russian social thought. Individualism and philistinism in Russian literature and life of the XIX century. Vol. 1]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipografiya M.M. Stasyulevicha, 1911. 414 p.
4. Malinov, A.V. V.S. Solov'ev i N.I. Kareev (K istorii vzaimootnosheniy) [V.S. Solov'yov and N.I. Kareyev (On the history of relationships)], in *Zhurnal sotsiologii i sotsial'noy antropologii*, 2003, no. 2, vol. VI, pp. 55–64.
5. Tanatova, D.K. *Antropolicheskiy podkhod v sotsiologii* [Anthropological approach in sociology]. Moscow: Izdatel'sko-torgovaya korporatsiya «Dashkov i K°», 2004. 264 p.
6. Nemirovskiy, V.G., Nevirkо, D.D. *Sotsiologiya cheloveka. Ot klassicheskikh k postneklassicheskim podkhodam* [Sociology of man. From classical to post-non-classical approaches]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo LKI, 2008. 304 p.
7. D'yakov, A.V. *Zhan Bodriyyar: Strategii «radikal'nogo myshleniya»* [Jean Baudrillard: Strategies of «Radical Thinking»]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdatel'stvo Sankt-Peterburgskogo universiteta, 2008. 356 p.
8. Okeanskiy, V.P. Antikhrist kak velikiy sotsiolog: postscriptum k pozdnemu Vladimиру Solov'evu [Antichrist as a great sociologist: postscriptum to late Vladimir Solovyov], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2005, issue 10, pp. 155–164.

9. Osipov, I.D. Po tu storonu liberalizma i konservativizma: sotsial'nye iskaniya V.S. Solov'eva (k 150-letiyu so dnya rozhdeniya) [On the other side of liberalism and conservatism: V.S. Solovyov (on the 150th anniversary of his birth)], in *Zhurnal sotsiologii i sotsial'noy antropologii*, 2003, no. 2, vol. VI, pp. 40–54.
10. *Sotsiologicheskaya mysль v Rossii. Ocherki istorii nemarksistskoy sotsiologii posledney treti XIX nachala XX veka* [Sociological thought in Russia. Essays on the history of non-Marxist sociology of the last third of the XIXth - early XXth centuries]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1978. 416 p.
11. Solov'ev, V.S. Smysl lyubvi [The meaning of love], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sochineniya v 2 t., t. 2* [Works in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Mysl', 1990, pp. 493–548.
12. Sorokin, P.A. Tainstvennaya energiya lyubvi [Mysterious energy of love], in *Sotsiologicheskie issledovaniya*, 1991, no. 8, pp. 121–137; no. 9, pp. 144–159.
13. Rozanov, V.V. *Uedinenennoe* [The solitary]. Moscow: Politizdat, 1990. 521 p.
14. Frank, S.L. *Lichnaya zhizn' i sotsial'noe stroitel'stvo* [Personal life and social construction]. Available at: https://vtoraya-literatura.com/pdf/frank_lichnaya_zhizn_i_sotsialnoe_stroitelstvo_1933_text.pdf (Data obrashcheniya – 20.12.2018).
15. Losev, A.F. *Vladimir Solov'ev i ego vremya* [Vladimir Solovyov and his time]. Moscow: Progress, 1990. 720 p.
16. Plekhanov, G.V. Vissarion Grigor'evich Belinskiy (1811–1848) [Vissarion Grigorievich Belinsky (1811–1848)], in Plekhanov, G.V. *Izbrannye filosofskie proizvedeniya. T. 4* [Selected philosophical works. Vol. 4]. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo Sotsial'no-ekonomicheskoy literatury, 1958, pp. 498–542.
17. Belova, T.P. Metafizika vseidinstva V.S. Solov'eva kak metodologicheskaya osnova khristianskoy sotsiologii [Metaphysics of V.S. Solovyov's All-Unity as a methodological basis of Christian sociology], in *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2008, issue 17, pp. 187–198.
18. Lifshits, M.A. O russkoy literature i ee mirovom znachenii [On Russian literature and its world significance], in Lifshits, M.A. *Ocherki russkoy literatury* [Essays on Russian Literature]. Moscow: Akademicheskiy proekt, 2015, pp. 18–104.
19. Solov'ev, V.S. Kont [Comte]. Available at: <http://www.magister.msk.ru/library/be/k/ko0002.htm> (data obrashcheniya – 12.01.2019).
20. Kont, O. *Dukh pozitivnoy filosofii* [Spirit of positive philosophy]. Rostov on Don: Feniks, 2003. 256 p.
21. Solov'ev, V.S. Krizis zapadnoy filosofii (protiv pozitivistov) [The crisis of Western philosophy (against positivists)], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sochineniya v 2 t., t. 2* [Works in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Mysl', 1990, pp. 3–138.
22. Solov'ev, V.S. Opravdanie dobra. Nrvavstvennaya filosofiya [Justification of the good. Moral philosophy], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sochineniya v 2 t., t. 1* [Works in 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Mysl', 1990, pp. 47–580.
23. Kozyr'kov, V.P. Razrabotka sotsiologicheskoy kategorii otvetstvennosti [Development of the sociological category of responsibility], in *Sotsiologiya. Zhurnal rossiyskoy sotsiologicheskoy assotsiatsii*, 2007, no. 3–4, pp. 45–53.
24. Solov'ev, V.S. Natsional'nyy vopros v Rossii. Vyp. 1 [The national question in Russia. Issue 1], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sochineniya v 2 t., t. 1* [Works in 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Pravda, 1989, pp. 259–410.
25. Sogomonov, A.Yu. Sud'by i prorochestva Pitirima Sorokina. Predislovie [The fate and prophecies of Pitirim Sorokin], in Sorokin, P.A. *Chelovek. Tsivilizatsiya. Obshchestvo*. Moscow: Politizdat, 1992, pp. 5–24.
26. Volkova, P.S., Zhukova, T.A., Perederiy, V.A. *Sotsiologiya v kontekste kul'tury i duchovnoy zhizni* [Sociology in the context of culture and spiritual life]. Krasnodar: KubGAU, 2018. 308 p.
27. Firsov, B.M. *Istoriya sovetskoy sotsiologii: 1950–1980-e gody. Ocherki* [History of Soviet Sociology: the 1950s–1980s]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdatel'stvo Evropeyskogo universiteta v Sankt-Peterburge, 2012. 476 p.

«THE MYSTERY OF MAN» AND THE HISTORICAL PROCESS IN HISTORIOSOPHIC THOUGHTS OF RUSSIAN PHILOSOPHERS AND WRITERS (V.S. SOLOVYOV, F.M. DOSTOEVSKY, F.I. TYUTCHEV)

B.N. TARASOV

A.M. Gorky Literature Institute
25, Tverskoy bulvar, Moscow, 123104, Russian Federation
E-mail: bntarasov@yandex.ru

The article discusses manifestations of spiritual entropy in the civilizational process noted by many Russian writers and thinkers of the XIX – XX centuries. It shows a peculiar historiosophical similarity of Russian writers and thinkers that are so different from each other: V.S. Solovyov, F.M. Dostoevsky and F.I. Tyutchev. With all the differences in the content, peculiarities and details of their individual creative evolution, there is one feature that brings them together and makes them typologically similar – their logic of understanding the phenomena occurring in the civilizational process that is based on the fundamental connection of Christian axiology, ontology and anthropology with the course of the historical process. The article defines the concepts of the “law of I” and the “law of love”, the “dark foundation of our nature” and the “positive forces of the good and the light” denoting the polar spiritual and psychological properties of human nature and allowing those writers and thinkers to evaluate the course of civilization in terms of the decreasing spiritual level of its subjects, the subjugation of the culture to the biological act, its subordination to the economic motivators and competitive social Darwinism. It is emphasized that the universal nature of their methodology of Christian realism and the unanimity about the dominance of the “law of I” in the “dark foundation of our nature” uncured by the “law of love” and the light of “Christ’s truth” in secular humanism demonstrates, in its own way, the pre-apocalyptic state of the world.

Key words: *Russian religious philosophy and classical literature, Christian axiology, ontology and anthropology, historical process, civilization and the Apocalypse*

References

- Kireevskiy, I.V. Zhizn' Stefensa [Life of Stephens], in Kireevskiy, I.V. *Kritika i estetika* [Criticism and aesthetics]. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1979, pp. 154–202.
- Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Zapisnaya tetrad'* 1876–1877 gg. [Notebook: 1876–1877], in Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 30 t., t. 24* [Complete works in 30 vol., vol. 24]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1982, pp. 187–314.
- Chaadaev, P.Ya. *Filosoficheskie pis'ma. Pis'mo shestoe* [Philosophical letters. The sixth letter], in Chaadaev, P.Ya. *Stat'i i pis'ma* [Articles and letters]. Moscow: Sovremennik, 1989, pp. 100–123.
- Erm, V.F. *Gnoseologiya V.S. Solov'yeva* [V.S. Solovyov's gnoseology], in O Vladimire Solov'eve. *Sbornik pervyy* [About Vladimir Solovyov. Book 1]. Moscow: Put', 1911, pp. 129–206.
- Solov'ev, V.S. Po povodu poslednikh sobytiy [On the recent events], in *VestnikEvropy*, 1900, no. 9, pp. 302–306.
- Velichko, V.L. *Vladimir Solov'ev. Zhizn' i tvoreniya* [Vladimir Solovyov. Life and works]. Saint-Petersburg: Tovarishchestvo R. Golike i A. Vil'borg, 1903. 208 p.
- Solov'ev, V.S. *Tri rechi v pamyat' Dostoevskogo* [Three speeches to commemorate Dostoevsky], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 2 t., t. 2* [Collection of works in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Mysl', 1988, pp. 229–324.
- Solov'ev, V.S. *Tri razgovora o voynе, progresse i kontsevsemirnoy istorii* [Three discussions about war, progress and the end of world history], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 2 t., t. 2* [Collection of works in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Mysl', 1988, pp. 635–762.

Dostoevskiy, F.M. M.M. Dostoevskomu. 9 avgusta [To M.M. Dostoevsky on August 9], in Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 30 t., t. 28. Kn. 1* [Complete works in 30 vol., vol. 28. Book 1]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1985, pp. 49–51.

Dostoevskiy, F.M. Besy. Podgotovitel'nye materialy. Zametki. Kharakteristiki. Planysyuzhet. Dialogi [Demons. Preparative materials. Notes. Characteristics. Plot planes. Dialogues], in Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 30 t., t. 11* [Complete works in 30 vol., vol. 11]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1974, pp. 64–308.

Dostoevskiy, F.M. Gospodin Shchedrin ili raskol v nigilistakh [Mr. Shchedrin or the split among nihilists], in Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 30 t., t. 20* [Complete works in 30 vol., vol. 20]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1980, pp. 102–120.

Dostoyevskiy, F.M. Dnevnik pisatelya za 1876 god. Yanvar’ – aprel’ [A writer’s diary. 1876: January–April], in Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 30 t., t. 22* [Complete works in 30 vol., vol. 22]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1981, pp. 5–135.

Dostoevskiy, F.M. Dnevnik pisatelya za 1876 god. May–oktyabr’ [A writer’s diary. 1876: May–October], in Dostoevskiy, F.M. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 30 t., t. 23* [Complete works in 30 vol., vol. 23]. Leningrad: Nauka, 1981, pp. 5–162.

Timofeeva, V.V. (O. Pochinovskaya) God raboty so znamenitym pisatelem [A year of work with the famous writer], in *F.M. Dostoevskiy v vospominaniyakh sovremennikov T. 2* [F.M. Dostoevsky in remembrances of his contemporaries. Vol. 2]. Moscow: Khudozhestvennaya literature, 1990, pp. 137–197.

Aksakov, I.S. *Biografiya Fedora Ivanovicha Tyutcheva* [Fyodor Ivanovich Tyutchev’s biography]. Moscow: Tipografiya M.G. Volchaninova, 1886. 328 p.

Tytchev, F.I. Pis’ma k A.V. Pletnevoy [Letters to A.V. Pletnyova], in *Literaturnoe nasledstvo. T. 97. Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev. Kn. 2* [Literary heritage. Vol. 97. Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev. Book 2]. Moscow: Nauka, 1988, pp. 556–567.

Pis’ma F.I. Tyutcheva k ego vtoroy zhene, urozhdennoy baronesse Pfeffel’ (1854–1858) [F.I. Tyutchev’s letters to his second wife, née baroness Pfeffel], in *Starina i novizna Istoricheskiy sbornik, izdavaemyy pri Obshchestve revniteley istoricheskogo prosveshcheniya v pamyat’ imp. Aleksandra III. 1897–1917. Kn. 19* [The old and the new. A historical collection published by the Society of lovers of historical education in memory of Emperor Alexander III. 1897–1917. Book 19. 1897–1917]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipografiya Glavnogo upravleniya udelov, 1915, pp. 104–276.

Tytchev, F.I. I.S. i A.F. Aksakovym [To I.S. and A.F. Aksakovs], in *Literaturnoe nasledstvo. T. 97. Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev. Kn. 2* [Literary heritage. Vol. 97. Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev. Book 2]. Moscow: Nauka, 1988, pp. 258–380.

Tytchev, F.I. Nezavershenny traktat «Rossiya i Zapad» [Uncompleted treatise «Russia and the West»], in *Literaturnoe nasledstvo Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev. Kn. 1* [Literary heritage. Vol. 97. Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev. Book 1]. Moscow: Nauka, 1988, pp. 201–209.

Florovskiy, G.V. Tyutchev i Vladimir Solov’ev (Glava iz knigi) [Tyutchev and Vladimir Solov’ev (a chapter from the book)], in *Put’*, Paris, 1933, no. 41 (November–December), pp. 3–24.

«THE ARITHMETICS OF LOVE» VERSUS «THE MEANING OF LOVE»: THE IMPOSSIBLE ALLOY AND INSEPERABILITY OF ZINAIDA GIPPIUS' AND VLADIMIR SOLOVYOV'S THOUGHTS

O.A. BLINOVA

Lycée R. Cassin

4, rue Schoch BP 67, Strasbourg cedex, 67046 France

E-mail: blinova.olga.aleksandrovna@gmail.com

The influence of V.S. Solovyov's opinion on Z.N. Gippius' perception of the meaning of love has already been considered in her contemporaries' texts and more recent studies. However, these studies mainly focused on the similarities of their opinions. Based on Gippius' personal documents, prose and poetry, this article shows how she, starting from the philosopher's ideas, created her own version of the theory of Eros that was originally realized in the form of a Divine Humanity project in her poetic oeuvre. Particular emphasis is put on the genesis of her thought and possible influences that shaped her (especially Balzac's novel «Séraphîta» and Masonic texts from A. Nezelenov's work devoted to N.I. Novikov). Confronting dates has led to a hypothesis about the contribution of «The Meaning of Love» to the birth of Gippius' diary «Tales of Love», and analyzing the texts about the subject has shown Solovyov's and Gippius' diverging interpretations of the concept of androgyny and the uniqueness of love. Finally, a comparison of Gippius' theoretical texts about love with her verse and prose has shown that in the latter she used a more modern approach to androgyny (based on the psychological interiorization of the phenomenon) than Solovyov's.

Key words: androgyny, Freemasonry, «Séraphîta» by Balzac, doctrine of love, All-unity, Divine Humanity, Eternal Femininity

References

1. Gippius, Z.N. Dmitriy Merezhkovskiy [Dmitry Merezhkovsky], in Gippius, Z.N. *Sobranie sochineniy*, t. 6: *Zhivye litsa* [Collected Works, vol. 6: The Living Faces]. Moscow: Russkaya kniga, 2002, pp. 193–432.
2. Z.N. Gippius: *pro et contra*. Saint-Petersburg: RKhGA, 2008. 1038 p.
3. Pachmuss, T. *Cahiers du monde russe et soviétique*, 1980, no. 2, pp. 223–230.
4. Matich, O. *Eroticheskaya utopiya: novoe religioznoe soznanie i fin de siècle v Rossii* [Erotic Utopia: the Decadent Imagination in Russia's Fin de Siècle]. Moscow: NLO, 2008. 400 p.
5. Zinaïda Guippius. *Poésie et philosophie du genre*. Strasbourg: PUS, 2016. 256 p.
6. Korostelev, O.A. *Solov'evskie issledovaniya*, 2018, issue 3(59), pp. 74–86.
7. Chistyakova, O.A. *Vestnik Novgorodskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta*, 2007, no. 41, pp. 75–78.
8. Gippius, Z.N. *Sobranie sochineniy*, t. 7: *My i oni* [Collected Works, vol. 7: Us and Them]. Moscow: Russkaya kniga, 2003. 528 p.
9. Vengerova, Z.A. *Vestnik Evropy*, 1892, vol. 5, book 9, pp. 115–143.
10. Gippius, Z.N. *Contes d'amour* [Tales of Love], in Gippius, Z.N. *Sobranie sochineniy*, t. 8: *Dnevniki 1893–1919* [Collected Works, vol. 8: Diary 1893–1919]. Moscow: Russkaya kniga, 2003, pp. 27–69.
11. Lutsevich, L. *La Russie et l'Europe: autres et semblables* (Elektronnyy sbornik po materialam konferentsii. 2008). <http://institut-est-ouest.ens-lsh.fr/spip.php?article126>
12. Solov'ev, V.S. *Smysl lyubvi* [The Meaning of Love], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 10 t.*, t. 7 [Collected Works in 10 vol., vol. 7]. Saint-Petersburg: Prosveshchenie, 1914, pp. 1–63.
13. Solov'ev, V.S. *Zhiznennaya drama Platona* [The Drama of Plato's Life], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 10 t.*, t. 9 [Collected Works in 10 vol., vol. 9]. Saint-Petersburg: Prosveshchenie, 1913, pp. 194–241.

14. Gippius, Z.N. O lyubvi [About Love], in Gippius, Z.N. *Sobranie sochineniy, t. 12: Tam i zdes'* [Collected Works, vol. 12: Here and there]. Moscow: Dmitriy Sechin, 2011, pp. 232–254.
15. *Intellect and Ideas in Action. Selected Correspondence of Zinaida Hippius*. München: Wilhelm Fink Verlag, 1972. 784 p.
16. Gippius, Z.N. Arifmetika lyubvi [The Arithmetic of Love], in Gippius, Z.N. *Sobranie sochineniy, t. 13: U nas v Parizhe* [Collected Works, vol. 13: At Home in Paris]. Moscow: Dmitriy Sechin, 2012, pp. 157–164.
17. Rozanov, V.V. Mimoletnoe, 1915 god [The Fleeting, Year 1915], in Rozanov, V.V. *Sobranie sochineniy, t. 2: Mimoletnoe* [Collected Works, vol. 2: Ephemeral]. Moscow: Respublika, 1994, pp. 5–334.
18. Pis'ma Z.N. Gippius k V.F. Nuvelyu [Z.N. Gippius' Letters to V.F. Nouvel], in *Diaspora. Vyp. II. Novye materialy*. 2001, pp. 303–348.
19. Gippius, Z.N. *Stikhotvoreniya* [Poems]. Saint-Petersburg: Akademicheskiy proekt, 1999. 592 p.
20. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 20 t., t. 8: Vechnye sputniki* [Collected Works in 20 vol., vol. 8: The Eternal Companions]. Moscow: Dmitriy Sechin, 2017. 447 p.
21. Merezhkovskiy, D.S. *O prichinakh upadka i o novykh techeniyakh sovremennoy russkoy literatury* [On the Causes of the Decline and on the New Trends in Contemporary Russian Literature]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipo-litografiya B.M. Vol'fa, 1893. 192 p.
22. Balzac, H. de. *Séraphîta*. La Bibliothèque électronique du Québec. Vol. 1095: version 1.0. 291 p.
23. Gippius, Z.N. Miss May [Miss May], in Gippius, Z.N. *Sobranie sochineniy, t. 1: Novye lyudi* [Collected Works, vol. 1: New People]. Moscow: Russkaya kniga, 2001, pp. 486–520.
24. Pis'ma Z.N. Gippius k M.S. Shaginyan 1908–1910 gg. [Z.N. Gippius' Letters to M.S. Shaginyan 1908–1910], in Z.N. Gippius. *Novye materialy. Issledovaniya* [Z.N. Gippius. New materials. Research]. Moscow: IMLI RAN, 2002, pp. 89–140.
25. Nezelenov, A.I. *Nikolay Ivanovich Novikov, izdatel' zhurnalov 1769–1785 gg* [Nicolai Ivanovich Novikov, Press Editor in 1769–1785]. Saint-Petersburg: Tipografiya V.S. Balasheva, 1875. XV. 447 p.
26. Pavlova, M.M. *Russkaya literatura*, 2017, no. 3, pp. 222–242; no. 4, pp. 166–194.
27. Istorii «novoy» khristianskoy lyubvi. Erotesheskiy eksperiment Merezhkovskikh v svete «Glavnogo»: iz «dnevnikov» T.N. Gippius 1906–1908 gg. [Stories of «new» Christian Love. Merezhkovskys' Erotic Experiment in Light of «The Essential»: from T.N. Gippius' «Diaries» 1906–1908], in *Erotizm bez beregov* [Limitless Eroticism]. Moscow: NLO, 2004, pp. 391–455.
28. Ryabov, O.V. *Zhenshchina i zhenstvennost' v filosofii Serebryanogo veka* [Woman and Femininity in the Philosophy of the Russian Silver Age]. Ivanovo: Ivanovskiy gosudarstvenny universitet, 1997. 160 p.

PHILOLOGY AND PHILOSOPHY

INTERPRETATION OF THE BIBLICAL DIVINELY REVEALED NAME IN THE WRITINGS OF THE BISHOP IOANN (SOKOLOV) AND V.S. SOLOVYYOV AS EVALUATED BY THE ARCHBISHOP THEOFAN (BYSTROV)

D.L. SHUKUROV

Ivanovo State University of Chemistry and Technology,
7, Sheremetevsky PR., Ivanovo, 153000, Russian Federation
E-mail: shoudmitry@yandex.ru

This article describes the first biblical studies in Russia, which presented the exegetic and hermeneutic experience of understanding the problem of the Divinely Revealed Name in the book of Exodus. The particular object of the analysis is the fundamental work of the Archbishop Theofan (Bystrov) "Tetragramm, or the old Testament Divine name יהה" published in the early XX century and dedicated to a comprehensive study of the problem of Tetragrammaton, and also the works of the Orthodox Bishop John (Sokolov) as well as those of the Russian religious thinker V.S. Solovyyov that appeared in the second half of the XIX century and were critically evaluated by the Archbishop Theofan. The purpose of this work is to make an analytical review of those works and to characterize the ideas expressed in them. The study uses the methodological principles of linguistic hermeneutics and biblical exegesis. One of the problems that remains relevant in modern biblical studies is the discussion of translations and interpretations of the biblical name of God expressed by Tetragrammaton, which began many centuries ago and actively developed throughout the XX century, primarily, in Western science. However, in his unique work, the Archbishop Theofan (Bystrov) made a productive generalization of this exegetic and hermeneutic experience and found new solutions to this problem, which anticipated the relevant scientific research in Western biblical studies. The paper shows that the overcoming of the "relative" and "substantial" approaches to the interpretation of Tetragrammaton undertaken by the Archbishop Theofan is based on the biblical interpretation of his Russian predecessors – Bishop John (Sokolov) and V.S. Solovyyov. It is proved that despite the fact that these interpretations were perceived by the Archbishop Theofan critically, their methodological principles formed the basis of his own concept.

Keywords: the Bible, book of Exodus, biblical studies, Name of God, Tetragrammaton, exegesis, linguistic hermeneutics, Middle Eastern culture, Judaic tradition, Septuagint, Christian theology

References

1. Feofan (Bystrov), arkhim. *Tetragramma, ili vetkhozavetnoe Bozhestvennoe imya יהה* [Tetragrams, or the old Testament Divine Name יהה]. Kiev: Prolog, 2004. 360 p. (In Russian)
2. Ioann (Sokolov), episkop Smolenskiy. Optyt iz"yasneniya vetkhozavetnykh knig Sv. Pisaniya. Iz zapisok na knigu Iskhod [The experience of explaining the old Testament books of the Holy Scriptures. From the notes on the book of Exodus], in *Pravoslavnyy sobesednik* [Orthodox interlocutor]. Kazan': Tipografiya Gubernskogo pravleniya, 1861, pp. 345–381. (In Russian)
3. Solov'ev, V.S. Chteniya o Bogochelovechestve (1877–1881) [Readings about God-manhood (1877–1881)], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 9 t., t. III* [1877–1884] [Collected works in 9 vol., vol. III [1877–1884]]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdanie Tovarishchestva «Obshchestvennaya Pol'za», 1901, pp. 1–168. (In Russian)
4. Solov'ev, V.S. Iстория и будущность теократии (issledovanie vsemirno-istoricheskogo puti k istinnoy zhizni (1885–1887) [History and future of theocracy (a study of the world's historical path to the true life (1885–1887)], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 9 t., t. IV* [1883–1887] [Collected

- works in 9 vol., vol. IV [1883–1887]]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdanie Tovarishchestva «Obshchestvennaya Pol'za», 1901, pp. 214–582. (In Russian)
5. Abba, R. The Divine Name YHWH. *Journal of Biblical Literature*, 1961, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 320–328. (In English)
 6. Boman, T. Hebrew Thought Compared with Greek. London: S.C.M. Press, 1960. 224 p. (In English)
 7. *Durham, J.I.* Word Biblical Commentary. Vol. 3. *Exodus*. Colombia: Thomas Nelson, 1987. 560 p. (In English)
 8. Eichrodt, W. Theology of the Old Testament. 2 vol. Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1961–1967. (In English)
 9. Gianotti, C. The New Testament and the Mishnah: A Cross-Reference Index. Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1983. 63 p. (In English)
 10. Kaiser, W.C. Old Testament Theology. *Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society* (JETS), 2007, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 673–691. (In English)
 11. Mowinkel, S. The Name of the God of Moses. *Hebrew Union College Annual* (HUCA), 1961, vol. 32, pp. 121–133. (In English)
 12. Plastaras, J. The God of Exodus: The Theology of the Exodus Narratives. Milwaukee: Bruce Publishing Company, 1966. 342 p. (In English)
 13. Quell, G. Kύπιος. Theological Dictionary of the New Testament. Vol. III. Michigan, 1968, pp. 1039–1081. (In English)
 14. Rad, G. von. Theologie des Alten Testaments. Bd.1. Die Theologie der geschichtlichen Überlieferungen Israels. München: chr. Kaiser Verlag, 1969. 603 s. (In German)
 15. Tremontant, C. Le Christ hébreu : la langue et l'âge des Évangiles. Paris: O.E.I.L., 1983. 317 p. (In French)
 16. Zimmerli, W. Old Testament Theology in Outline. Atlanta: John Knox Press, 1978. 258 p. (In English)
 17. Barr, J. The Semantics of Biblical Language. Glasgow: Oxford University Press, 1961. 321 p. (In English)
 18. Childs, B.S. *The Book of Exodus: A Critical, Theological Commentary. Old Testament Library*. Philadelphia, PA: The Westminster Press, 1974. 659 p. (In English)
 19. Ricoeur, P., LaCocque, A. Penser la Bible. Paris: Editions du Seuil, 2003. 476 p. (In French)
 20. Seleznev, M.G. Samoopredelenie Boga v Iskh 3:14: «YA esm' Sushchiy» ili «YA Tot, kto YA est». Ekzegeza sovremennykh interpretatorov i grammatika drevnikh tekstov [God's self-determination in ex 3:14: «I am who I Am» or «I am Who I am». Exegesis of modern interpreters and grammar of ancient texts], in *Gosudarstvo, religiya, Tserkov' v Rossii i za rubezhom*, 2017, no. 4, pp. 162–186. (In Russian)
 21. Vestel', Yu.A. «Neimenuemoe Imya». Ocherki ob Imeni Bozhiem [«Ineffable Name». Essays on the Name of God], in *Religiya v Ukraine* [Religion in Ukraine]. Available at: <https://www.religion.in.ua/main/bogoslovyia/14282-neimenuemoe-imya-ocherki-ob-imeni-bozhiem.html>. Date of access: 17.07.2019. (In Russian)
 22. Solov'ev, V.S. Kritika otvlechennykh nachal [Criticism of abstract beginnings], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Sobranie sochineniy v 9 t., t. II* [Collected works in 9 vol., vol. II]. Saint-Petersburg: Izdanie Tovarishchestva «Obshchestvennaya Pol'za», 1901, pp. 5–374. (In Russian)
 23. Trubetskoy, S.N. *Uchenie o Logose v ego istorii* [The doctrine of the Logos in its history]. Moscow: Tip. G. Lissnera i A. Geshelya, 1900, vol. 1. 465 p.
 24. Bitner, K.A. K probleme peredachi chetyrekhukvennogo imeni Boga pri perevode vetrkhozavetnykh tekstov na russkiy yazyk [On the problem of transfer of the four-letter name of God in the translation of the old Testament texts into Russian], in *Religiya. Tserkov'. Obshchestvo. Issledovaniya i publikatsii po teologii i religii* [Religion. Church. Society. Research and publications on theology and religion]. Saint-Petersburg, 2017, issue VI, pp. 374–386. (In Russian)

THOUGHT AND ITS DIALECTICS IN TYUTCHEV'S POETRY

A.V. IVANOV, S.M. ZHURAVLEVA

Altai State Agrarian University

98, Krasnoarmeysky Ave., Barnaul, 656049, Russian Federation

E-mail:ivanov_a_v_58@mail.ru, jurav27@yandex.ru

The article explores the theme of thought in Tyutchev's poetry. The method used in the article is not historical and philosophical but theoretical and problem-oriented, when the author's ideas are interpreted in accordance with their relevance to solving modern philosophical problems. The article emphasizes that Tyutchev's literary heritage has no integral and complete artistic "philosophy of thought" but has a number of philosophical and poetic insights and intuitions, where we find extremely deep dialectical views on the nature of thought and its attributes. By analysing Tyutchev's poems we were able to determine an important idea of the Russian poet about the inextricable connection of man's deep thought with the external order of things and to substantiate Tyutchev's panpsychism in the interpretation of nature. The article indicates Tyutchev's disagreement with the opposition of the thinking person and unconscious nature, which triumphed in the European culture and philosophy of the New Time. It is argued that Tyutchev is rightly regarded as one of the founders of the ontological theory of language, which was later developed in Russian philosophy by P. A. Florensky and S. N. Bulgakov. The article concludes that it is possible to identify three levels of thought in Tyutchev's poetical heritage: 1) deep and creative ones rooted in the divine origins of life and at the same time in the human deep Self; 2) subjective and fussy ones doomed to oblivion and disintegration together with the superficial human empirical "I" which completely disappears after death; 3) destructive ones bringing discord and noogenic chaos to the surrounding human and natural world.

Keywords: Tyutchev's philosophical poetry, theme of thought, ontologism of the literary word, thought and consciousness, panpsychism, dialectics

References

1. Gerasimova, I.A. O prirode mysli. Po materialam drevnerusskoy knizhnosti [On the nature of thought. Based on old Russian literature], in *Filosofskie nauki*, 2017, no. 10, pp. 39–54.
2. Ivanov, A.V., Fotieva, I.V. Filosofiya mysli Pavla Florenskogo [Pavel Florensky's philosophy of thought], in *Filosofskiy zhurnal*, 2016, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 92–111.
3. Frank, S.L. Kosmicheskoe chuvstvo v poezii Tyutcheva [The sense of space in Tyutchev's poetry], in *F.I.Tyutchev: pro et contra*. Saint-Petersburg: RKhGI, 2008, pp. 277–309.
4. F.I. Tyutchev: pro et contra. Saint-Petersburg: RKhGI, 2008.1038 p.
5. Karl Pfeffel' o Tyutcheve [Carl Pfeffel about Tyutchev], in *Literaturnoe nasledstvo, t. 97: Fedor Ivanovich Tyutchev, kn. 2* [Literary heritage, vol. 97: Fyodor Ivanovich Tyutchev, book 2]. Moscow: Nauka, 1989, pp. 30–37.
6. Tyutchev, F.I. Stikhotvoreniya [Poems], in Tyutchev F.I. *Stikhotvoreniya. Pis'ma. Vospominaniya sovremennikov* [Poems. Letters. Memories of contemporaries]. Moscow: Pravda, 1988, pp. 30–137.
7. Zen'kovskiy, V.V. Filosofskie motivy v russkoy poezii [Philosophical motives in Russian poetry], in *F.I. Tyutchev: pro et contra*. Saint-Petersburg: RKhGI, 2008, pp. 738–745.
8. Kozhinov, V.V. *Tyutchev*. Moscow: Molodaya gvardiya, 2009.471 p.
9. Gumbol'dt, V. Opty analiza meksikanskogo yazyka [Experience in analyzing the Mexican language], in Gumbol'dt, V. *Yazyk i filosofiya kul'tury* [Language and philosophy of culture]. Moscow: Progress, 1985, pp. 360–369.
10. Bulgakov, S.N. *Filosofiya Imeni* [Philosophy of Name]. Saint-Petersburg: Nauka, 1998. 446 p.

11. Florenskiy, P.A. Ob istoricheskem poznani (Konspekt lektsiy) [On historical cognition (Synopsis of lectures)], in Florenskiy, P.A. *Sobranie sochineniy v 4 t., t. 3(2)* [Works in 4 vol., vol 3(2)]. Moscow: Mysl', 1999, pp. 8–66.
12. Solov'ev, V.S. Poeziya F.I. Tyutcheva [F.I. Tyutchev's Poetry], in Solov'ev, V.S. *Filosofiya iskusstva i literaturnaya kritika* [Philosophy of art and literary criticism]. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1991, pp. 465–483.
13. Tompkins, P., Berd, K. *Taynayazhizn' rasteniy* [The secret life of plants]. Moscow: Gomeopaticheskay ameditsina, 2006.440 p.
14. Paskal', B. *Mysli* [Thoughts]. Moscow: REPL-book, 1994.528 p.
15. Florenskiy, P.A. Pis'ma s Solovkov. 1935. II. 8. [Letters from Solovki. 1935. II. 8.], in Florenskiy, P.A. *Sochineniya v 4 t., t. 4* [Works in 4 vol., vol. 4]. Moscow: Mysl', 1998, pp. 180–182.

RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE OF L. TOLSTOY AND L. KARSAVIN'S CONCEPT OF A PERSONALITY

I.Yu. MATVEEVA

Russian State Institute of Performing Arts
34, Mokhovaya St., St. Petersburg, 191028, Russian Federation
E-mail: inga.matveeva.spb@gmail.com

I.I. EVLAMPIEV

St. Petersburg State University,
5, Mendeleevskaya liniya, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russian Federation
E-mail: yevlampiev@mail.ru

The article proves the essential coincidence of the views of L.N. Tolstoy and L.P. Karsavina on the correspondence of time and eternity in human being. It is shown that both thinkers understand eternity not as a lack of time, but as "timelessness", as a latent ability of a person to cover all time and all events of his life. In the article, this general idea is used to demonstrate the unity of the religious teachings of Tolstoy and Karsavin, in the context of which the problem of personality is solved. It is shown that both thinkers adhere to pantheistic views and understand the life of a person in time as the "unfold-ing" of the existence of God in a system of particular forms. Having identified the principle of the identity of God and the individual as the basis of the religious teachings of thinkers, the authors of the article state that they unconditionally accept the idea of immortality, and in its version that is incompatible with the church teaching about immortality as being in the Kingdom of Heaven. A more detailed analysis of the idea of immortality, characteristic of Tolstoy and Karsavin, allows us to see that death is understood by them as the transition of a person to another circle of earthly existence ("to other worlds"). The most important result of the study is the definition of the concept of "true death" in Karsavin's philosophy. It is shown that a similar difference between true and false death exists in Tolstoy's doctrine.

Key words: *time and eternity, the all-time personality, the identity of the person and God, immortality, true death*

Reference

1. Merezhkovskiy o L. Tolstom [Merezhkovsky about L. Tolstoy], in *Rossiya*, 1901, 8(21) February, no. 643.
2. Tolstoy, L.N. Dnevnik 1904–1906 [Diary 1904–1906], in Tolstoy, L.N. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 90 t., t. 55* [Complete works in 90 vol., vol. 55]. Moscow; Leningrad: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1937. 635 p.
3. Karsavin, L.P. O sushchnosti pravoslaviya [On the Essence of Orthodoxy], in Karsavin, L.P. *Sochineniya* [Works]. Moscow: Raritet, 1993, pp. 359–402.
4. Khoruzhiy, S.S. Zhizn' i uchenie L'va Karsavina [The life and teachings of Lev Karsavin], in Karsavin, L.P. *Religiozno-filosofskie sochineniya. T. I* [Religious and Philosophical Writings. Vol. 1]. Moscow: Renessans, 1992, pp. V–LXXIII.
5. Tolstoy, L.N. O zhizni [On Life], in Tolstoy, L.N. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 90 t., t. 26* [Complete works, in 90 vol., vol. 26]. Moscow; Leningrad: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1936, pp. 313–442.
6. Tolstoy, L.N. Dnevnik 1900–1903 [Diary 1900–1903], in Tolstoy, L.N. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 90 t., t. 54* [Complete works, in 90 vol., vol. 54]. Moscow; Leningrad: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1935. 752 p.
7. Khoruzhiy, S.S. Filosofiya Karsavina v sud'bakh evropeyskoy mysli o lichnosti [The philosophy of Karsavin in the fate of the European idea of personality], in *Lev Platonovich Karsavin*. Moscow: ROSSPEN, 2012, pp. 193–214.

8. Karsavin, L.P. O svobode [On Freedom], in Karsavin, L.P. *Malye sochineniya* [Small Works]. Saint-Petersburg: Aleteyya, 1994, pp. 204–249.
9. Zhdanov, V. *Tvorcheskaya istoriya «Anny Kareninoy»: materialy i nablyudeniya* [Creative history of «Anna Karenina»: materials and observations]. Moscow: Sovetskiy pisatel', 1957. 264 p.
10. Tolstoy, L.N. *Khozyain i rabotnik* [Master and Worker], in Tolstoy, L.N. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 90 t., t. 29* [Complete works in 90 vol., vol. 29]. Moscow; Leningrad: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1954, pp. 3–46.
11. Karsavin, L.P. O lichnosti [On Person], in Karsavin, L.P. *Religiozno-filosofskie sochineniya. T. 1* [Religious and Philosophical Writings. Vol. 1]. Moscow: Renessans, 1992, pp. 3–234.
12. Karsavin, L.P. Poema o smerti [Poem about death], in Karsavin, L.P. *Religiozno-filosofskie sochineniya. T. 1* [Religious and Philosophical Writings. Vol. 1]. Moscow: Renessans, 1992, pp. 235–305.
13. Bayssvenger, M. «Eretik» sredi «eretikov»: L.P. Karsavin i Evrazystvo [«Heretic» among the «heretics»: L.P. Karsavin and Eurasianism], in *Lev Platonovich Karsavin*. Moscow: ROSSPEN, 2012, pp. 160–192.

AXIOLOGY OF SPACE AND TIME IN N.S. GUMILEV'S ADAMIC MYTH

A.V. FILATOV

Lomonosov Moscow State University,

Leninskie gory, the 1st study building, Moscow, 119991, Russian Federation

Institute of World Literature A.M. Gorky the Russian Academy of Science,

25a Povarskaya Str., Moscow, 121069, Russian Federation

E-mail: avphilatov@yandex.ru

The article is devoted to the value status of space and time in N.S. Gumilev's poems involved in the formation of his adamic myth. This myth is presented in the literary works through the characters of Adam and Eve, the loci of paradise, as well as the garden or unknown land alluding to the mythologem of paradise, and the motifs of exploring the new space and overcoming life difficulties. Structuralist methods of describing the model of the world in the myth are used to analyse Gumilev's poetic texts and to characterize two main oppositions in the structure of the myth: "sacred center – hostile periphery" and "sacred past – profane present". A hypothesis is put forward that these oppositions are essential in the adamic myth, which is constructed by analogy with archaic myths and counteracts some neomythological tendencies in the literature of the 19th and 20th centuries, where stable value oppositions are deformed and often inverted. It is proved that the structure of Gumilev's myth is based on the counter-position of the earth and heaven, with the paradise having features of a mythological locus and with the earth associated with the semantics of danger and hostility. The article asserts that the motifs of domestication of the earthly space and the search for paradise influence the axiological status of the earthly world, which becomes ambivalent in the characters' perception (poems "Canzone" written in 1917 and 1920). The article shows that due to the cyclical model of time, characteristic of the mythological consciousness, Adam and Eve's abode in paradise acquires the meaning of an idyllic past (the poem "The Dream of Adam") and a desired future (the poem "The Ballad"), which is projected on to the perception of the world history (the poem "On the green and earthly ways..."). The author of the article comes to the conclusion that Gumilev's implementation of the mythologem of paradise is variable and intentional, i.e. depends on the character's value system in a particular work.

Key words: N.S. Gumilev's adamic myth, mythological space, mythological time, axiological oppositions, philosophy of space and time, paradisiacal locus, character of Adam, philosophical principles of acmeism, literary axiology, neomythology

References

1. Bakhtin, M.M. Formy vremeni i khronotopa v romane: Ocherki po istoricheskoy poetike [Forms of time and chronotope in the novel: Essays on historical poetics], in Bakhtin, M.M. Voprosy literatury i estetiki [Problems of literature and aesthetics]. Moscow: Khudozhestvennaya literatura, 1975, pp. 234–407.
2. Meletinskiy, E.M. Poetika mifa [The poetics of myth]. Moscow: Nauka, 1976. 407 p.
3. Neklyudov, S.Yu. Struktura i funktsiya mifa [The structure and function of myth], in Sovremennoy russiyskaya mifologiya [The contemporary Russian mythology]. Moscow: RGGU, 2005, pp. 9–26.
4. Toporov, V.N. O strukture romana Dostoyevskogo v svyazi s arkhaicheskimi skhemami mifologicheskogo myshleniya («Prestuplenie i nakazanie») [On the structure of Dostoevsky's novel in connection with archaic patterns of mythological thought («Crime and Punishment»)], in Toporov, V.N. Mif. Ritual. Simvol. Obraz: Issledovaniya v oblasti mifopoeticheskogo [Myth. Rite. Symbol. Image: research into mythopoetics]. Moscow: Progress– Kul'tura, 1995, pp. 193–258.
5. Khalizev, V.E. Teoriya literatury [Theory of Literature]. Moscow: Izdatel'skiy tsentr «Akademiya», 2013. 432 p.

6. Filatov, A.V. Aksiologicheskiy podkhod k izucheniyu mifopoetiki: adamicheskiy mif v lirike N.S. Gumileva [The axiological approach to the study of mythopoetics: the adamic myth in Nikolay Gumilev's lyrics], in *Novyy filologicheskiy vestnik*, 2017, no. 4(43), pp. 141–149.
7. Gumilev, N.S. Son Adama [Adam's dream], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 1. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1902–1910)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 1. Poetry. Poems (1902–1910)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1998, pp. 255–258.
8. Kikhney, L.G. *Filosofsko-esteticheskie printsipy akmeizma i khudozhestvennaya praktika Osipa Mandel'shtama* [Philosophical and aesthetic principles of acmeism and Osip Mandelstam's literary practice]. Moscow: Dialog-MGU, 1997. 232 p.
9. Toporov, V.N. Apologiya Plyushkina: veshch' v antropotsentricheskoy perspective [The apology of Plyushkin: the thing in the anthropocentric perspective], in Toporov, V.N. *Mif. Ritual. Simvol. Obraz: Issledovaniya v oblasti mifopoeticheskogo* [Myth. Rite. Symbol. Image: research into mythopoetics]. Moscow: Progress– Kul'tura, 1995, pp. 7–111.
10. Gumilev, N.S. Ballada [The ballad], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 2. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1910–1913)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 2. Poetry. Poems (1910–1913)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1998, pp. 5–6.
11. Gumilev, N.S. Rayskiy sad [Paradisiacal garden], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 2. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1910–1913)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 2. Poetry. Poems (1910–1913)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1998, pp. 53–64.
12. Gumilev, N.S. Vetla chernela... [The tip of willow tree was blackened...], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 4. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1918–1921)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 4. Poetry. Poems (1918–1921)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 2001, p. 74.
13. Gumilev, N.S. Otkrytie Ameriki [Discovery of America], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 2. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1910–1913)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 2. Poetry. Poems (1910–1913)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1998, pp. 20–28.
14. Gumilev, N.S. Izbienie zhenikhov [The slaughter of the suitors], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 1. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1902–1910)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 1. Poetry. Poems (1902–1910)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1998, pp. 228–230.
15. Gumilev, N.S. Kantsona [Canzone], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 3. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1914–1918)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 3. Poetry. Poems (1914–1918)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1999, p. 149.
16. Gumilev, N.S. Kantsona [Canzone], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 4. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1918–1921)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 4. Poetry. Poems (1918–1921)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 2001, p. 94.
17. Gumilev, N.S. Na putyakh zelenykh i zemnykh... [On the green and earthly ways...], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 3. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1914–1918)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 3. Poetry. Poems (1914–1918)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1999, p. 159.
18. Gumilev, N.S. Bludnyy syn [Prodigal son], in Gumilev, N.S. *Polnoe sobranie sochineniy v 10 t., t. 2. Stikhotvoreniya. Poemy (1910–1913)* [Complete works in 10 vol., vol. 2. Poetry. Poems (1910–1913)]. Moscow: Voskresen'e, 1998, pp. 31–34.

CATEGORIES OF «THE TEMPORARY» AND «THE ETERNAL» IN I.B. RODNYANSKAYA'S LITERARY CRITICISM

E.M. ZENOVA

Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Moscow, Leninskie Gory, GSP, 119991, Russian Federation.
E-mail: Lizazenova@gmail.com

Modern researchers continue to raise the question about the laws and nature of the philosophical direction in literary criticism. In contrast to the views on the cooperation of philosophy and literature, literary scholars' evaluations of the dialogue between philosophy and literary criticism are not so unanimous. The purpose of this article is to find the formal categories in the structure of the literary-critical text that allow getting closer to the understanding of the philosophical criticism specificity. The paper discusses the distinctive features of the poetics of philosophical criticism. Based on the methods of structural and hermeneutic analysis, conclusions are made about the nature of the category «the temporary» and «the eternal» based on I. B. Rodnyanskaya's works (b. 1935). The article substantiates the idea that it is in the era of the Thaw that the philosophical direction was revived. Three aspects are identified in the views of the critic of the "sixties" on temporary categories: analysis of a work of the classical period in Russian literature, specific features of the discussion of modern literature and time scale of journalistic texts. It has been established that interpretation and evaluation of literary texts are based on philosophical categories because the author sees his goal in the search for «the grain of the eternal». It is proved that the significance of a literary work is determined, among other things, by its ability to be understandable and useful to the reader. Most of Rodnyanskaya's literary criticism touches upon the laws of human nature in general, regardless of the original discussion subject. The explanation of this sustained interest in the philosophical aspect can be found in the author's methodological principles, namely in the commitment to the direction chosen by V. S. Solovyov in literary criticism. The essence of this approach is correlating the observations of the aesthetic side of works with the truth in the highest sense of this concept.

Key words: literary criticism, philosophical direction, structural-hermeneutic analysis, “the sixties”, evaluation criteria, time of a literary-critical article, image of the author, dialogue, the aesthetic, ethics

References

1. Shteyngol'd, A.M. *Anatomiya literaturnoy kritiki. Priroda. Struktura. Poetika* [Anatomy of literary criticism. Nature. Structure. Poetics]. Saint-Petersburg: Dmitriy Bulanin, 2003. 200 p.
2. Zvereva, Yu.V. *Filosofskaya kritika 90-kh godov XIX veka: Na materiale statey Yu.N. Govorukhi-Otroka i A.L. Volynskogo*. Diss. ... kand. filol. nauk [Philosophical criticism of the 1890s. Cand. philol. sci. diss.]. Perm', 2006. 171 p.
3. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Ot avtora [From the author], in *Literaturnoe semiletie (1987–1994): stat'i* [Literary seven-year period (1987–1994): articles]. Moscow: Knizhnnyy sad, 1995, pp. 3–4.
4. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Razvyazka «Zhenit'by», ili Chemu smeemsya? [The dénouement of «Marriage», or What are we laughing at?], in *Dvizhenie literatury v 2 t., t. 1* [Movement of literature in 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Yazyki slavyanskikh kul'tur, 2006, pp. 90–123.
5. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Zdes' i tam [Here and there], in *Dvizhenie literatury v 2 t., t. 2* [Movement of literature in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Yazyki slavyanskikh kul'tur, 2006, pp. 190–202.
6. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Klassika i my [The classics and we], in *Khudozhhnik v poiskakh istiny* [The artist in search of the truth]. Moscow: Sovremennik, 1989, pp. 172–178.
7. Korotkina, A.M. Literaturnyy portret kak zhann kritiki (na materiale kriticheskogo naslediya A.K. Voronskogo) [Literary portrait as a genre of criticism (based on A. K. Voronsky's critical herit-

- age)], in *Voprosy istorii i teorii literaturnoy kritiki* [Problems of history and theory of literary criticism]. Tyumen': Tyumenskiy gosudarstvenny universitet, 1976. pp. 4–21.
8. Kagan, M.S. *Esterika kak filosofskaya nauka* [Aesthetics as a philosophical science]. Saint-Petersburg: Petropolis, 1997. 543 p.
9. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Poeticheskaya aforistika Pushkina i ideologicheskie ponyatiya nashikh dney [Pushkin's poetic aphoristics and the ideological concepts of our days], in *Dvizhenie literatury v 2 t., t. 1* [Movement of literature in 2 vol., vol. 1]. Moscow: Yazyki slavyanskih kul'tur, 2006, pp. 29–41.
10. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Yazyk pravoslavnogo bogosluzheniya kak prepyatstvie k raskul'tivaniyu sovremennoy Rossii [The language of the Orthodox worship as an obstacle to cultural degradation of modern Russia], in *Dvizhenie literatury v 2 t., t. 2* [Movement of literature in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Yazyki slavyanskih kul'tur, 2006, pp. 351–360.
11. Rodnyanskaya, I.B. Khristianstvo i kul'tura [Christianity and culture], in *Dvizhenie literatury v 2 t., t. 2* [Movement of literature in 2 vol., vol. 2]. Moscow: Yazyki slavyanskih kul'tur, 2006, pp. 361–366.
12. Gal'tseva, R., Rodnyanskaya, I. Real'noe delo khudozhnika («Polozhitel'naya estetika Vladimira Solov'eva i vzglyad na literaturnoe tvorchestvo») [The real cause of the artist («Positive aesthetics of Vladimir Solovyov and a view on creative writing»)], in Solov'ev V.S. *Filosofiya iskusstva i literaturnaya kritika* [Philosophy of art and literary criticism]. Moscow: Iskusstvo, 1991, pp. 8–29.

CRITICISM AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

EXPERIENCE IN STUDYING RUSSIAN ÉMIGRÉ PHILOSOPHY

[Review of] Ermishin O.T. Russian émigré philosophy of the XX century.
M.: Letny Sad: Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn House of Russia Abroad, 2019, 304 p.

A.A. ERMICHEV

CONGRATULATION

Congratulations to Mikhail Viktorovich Maximov

OUR AUTHORS

- Burmistrov**
Konstantin Yuryevich

Candidate of Philosophical Sciences (PhD), Senior Research Worker of the Department of Philosophy of Islamic World of the Institute of Philosophy of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russian Federation.
E-mail: kburmistrov@hotmail.com
- Rychkov**
Aleksandr
Leonidovich

Moscow, Russian Federation.
E-mail: vp102243@list.ru
- Mezhuev**
Boris Vadimovich

Candidate of Philosophical Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of History of Russian Philosophy of the Faculty of Philosophy of Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation.
E-mail: borismezhuev@yandex.ru
- Moiseev**
Vyacheslav Ivanovich

Doctor of Philosophical Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Professor, Head of the Department of Philosophy of Biomedical Ethics and Human Sciences of A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Moscow, Russian Federation.
E-mail: vimo@list.ru
- Astapov**
Sergei Nikolayevich

Doctor of Philosophical Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Associate Professor, Head of the Philosophy of Religion and Religious Studies Department of the Institute of Philosophy and Social and Political Studies of the Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation.
E-mail: snastapov@sedu.ru
- Kozyrkov**
Vladimir Pavlovich

Doctor of Sociological Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Professor, Professor of the Department of Applied Sociology of the Faculty of Social Sciences of Lobachevsky Nizhny Novgorod University, Nizhny Novgorod, Russian Federation.
E-mail: kozir3@yandex.ru
- Tarasov**
Boris Nikolayevich

Doctor of Philological Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Professor, Head of the Foreign Literature Department of the Gorky Literature Institute, Moscow, Russian Federation.
E-mail: bntarasov@yandex.ru
- Blinova**
Olga Aleksandrovna

Candidate of Philological Sciences (PhD), Research Worker assigned to the Study Group “GEO (Groupe D'études Orientales, Slaves et Néo Helléniques) EA 1340” of Strasbourg University, Teacher of Lycee Rene Cassin, Strasbourg, France.
E-mail: blinova.olga.aleksandrovna@gmail.com
- Shukurov**

Doctor of Philological Sciences (post-doctoral degree),

Dmitry Leonidovich	Associate Professor, Professor of the Department of History and Culture Studies of Ivanovo State University of Chemistry and Technology, Ivanovo, Russian Federation. E-mail: shoudmitry@yandex.ru
Ivanov Andrei Vladimirovich	Doctor of Philosophical Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Professor, Head of the Department of Philosophy of Altai State Agrarian University, Barnaul, Russian Federation. E-mail: ivanov_a_v_58@mail.ru
Zhuravleva Svetlana Mikhailovna	Candidate of Philosophical Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Philosophy of Altai State Agrarian University, Barnaul, Russian Federation. E-mail: jurav27@yandex.ru
Evlampiev Igor Ivanovich	Doctor of Philosophical Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Professor, Professor of the Department of Russian Philosophy and Culture of Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation. E-mail: yevlampiev@mail.ru
Matveeva Inga Yuryevna	Candidate of Philological Sciences (PhD), Associate Professor of the Department of Literature and Art of the Russian State Institute of Performing Arts, Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation. E-mail: inga.matveeva.spb@gmail.com
Filatov Anton Vladimirovich	Post-graduate Student of the Department of Literature Theory of the Faculty of Philology of Lomonov Moscow State University; Research Worker of the Department of Russian Literature of the Late XIX and Early XX Centuries of the A.M. Gorky Institute of World Literature of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russian Federation. E-mail: avphilatov@yandex.ru
Zenova Elizaveta Mikhailovna	Post-graduate Student of the Department of Literature Theory of the Faculty of Philology of Lomonov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation. E-mail: Lizazenova@gmail.com
Ermichev Aleksandr Aleksandrovich	Doctor of Philosophical Sciences (post-doctoral degree), Professor, Professor of the Department of Philosophy of the Russian Christian Humanities Academy, Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation. E-mail: ozo.rchga@gmail.com